arXiv:1607.06342v1 [math.RT] 21 Jul 2016

RELAXED HIGHEST WEIGHT MODULES FROM $\mathcal{D}$-MODULES ON THE KASHIWARA FLAG SCHEME.

CLAUDE EICHER

Abstract. The relaxed highest weight representations introduced by Feigin et al. are a special class of representations of the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$, which do not have a highest (or lowest) weight. We formulate a generalization of this notion for an arbitrary affine Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ w.r.t. an arbitrarily chosen simple root. We then realize induced $\mathfrak{g}$-modules of this type and their duals as global sections of twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules on the Kashiwara flag scheme X associated to $\mathfrak{g}$. The $\mathcal{D}$-modules that appear in our construction are direct images from subschemes of X given by the intersection of finite dimensional Schubert cells with their translate by a simple reflection. They are objects of the category $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$ of twisted holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules on X introduced by Kashiwara-Tanisaki. Besides the twist λ, they depend on a complex number describing the monodromy of the local systems we construct on these intersections. We describe the global sections of the $*$-direct images as a module over the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and show that the higher cohomology vanishes. We obtain a complete description of the cohomology groups of the direct images as $\mathfrak{g}$-modules in the following two cases. First, we address the case when the intersection is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^\times$. This case can be reduced to considerations on the projective line. Secondly, we address the case of the $*$-direct image from an arbitrary intersection when the twist is regular antidominant and the monodromy is trivial. For the proof of this case we introduce an exact auto-equivalence of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$ induced by the automorphism of X defined by a lift of a simple reflection. These results describe for the first time explicit non-highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$-modules as global sections on the Kashiwara flag scheme and extend several results of Kashiwara-Tanisaki to the case of relaxed highest weight representations.

Contents

1. Introduction and Organization 2
1.1. Relaxed highest weight representations 2
1.2. Matching representation theory with geometry 3
1.3. Related results 6
1.4. Notation 6
1.5. Acknowledgements 7
2. Setup 7
2.1. Affine Kac-Moody algebras and associated group schemes 7
2.1.1. Weyl group 7
2.1.2. Pro-unipotent group schemes 8
2.1.3. Group schemes T, B^-, P_i^- and G_i 8
2.2. Kashiwara flag scheme 8
2.3. $\mathcal{D}$-modules on the Kashiwara flag scheme 8
2.3.1. Twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules 8
2.3.2. Cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^j(X,\cdot)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X,\cdot)$ 9
3. Intersections of Schubert cells $X_w \cap s_i X_w$ 10
4. $\mathcal{D}$-module direct images from $X_w \cap s_i X_w$ 11
5. $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha))$ as $\mathfrak{h}$-module 11
6. Cohomology vanishing 12
7. $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)^{(\vee\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ 12
7.1. Definition of $\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)^{(\vee\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ 12

Date: July 22, 2016.

7.2. Comments on the literature and terminology 13
8. Exact auto-equivalence $\widetilde{s_{i*}}$ of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$ 14
8.1. The morphisms $s_{l'}^{l}$ 15
8.2. Definition of s_* 15
8.3. Cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^j(X, s_*\mathcal{M})$ 16
8.4. The $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\mathrm{H}^j(X, s_*\mathcal{M})$ 17
9. Categories $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}\,\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda)$ 19
9.1. Properties of $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}\,\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda)$ 19
9.2. Short exact sequences involving $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)$ 20
9.3. Properties of $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \cdot)$ on $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$ 21
10. Identification of $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \mathcal{R}_{!,*w}(\lambda, \alpha))$ as $\mathfrak{g}$-module in some cases 23
10.1. The case $w = s_i$ 23
10.2. The case of $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)$ 25
10.2.1. Algebraic statements about $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$ 25
10.2.2. Proof of the theorem 26
References 27

1. Introduction and Organization

The main thrust of this article[1] is to establish a geometric realization of certain relaxed highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$-modules on the Kashiwara flag scheme in the setup of the seminal work [KT95]. Here $\mathfrak{g}$ is an affine Kac-Moody algebra. In Section subsection 1.1-subsection 1.3 we provide a thematic introduction. The layout of the article is described in Section subsection 1.2.

1.1. **Relaxed highest weight representations.** Let $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Affine Kac-Moody (Lie) algebras [Kac90] are central extensions of the *loop Lie algebra* $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ of $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$ (plus extension by a derivation) and certain twisted versions of this construction. Similar to the case of $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$, a class of representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ considered in the literature are the highest weight representations. The highest weight representations satisfying some finiteness conditions form the *category* $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ of Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (see [BGG76] for a definition in the finite dimensional case and [Kum02] in the Kac-Moody case). We mention in passing that [Fre07] defines the much larger category of smooth $\mathfrak{g}$-representations inspired by the same-named notion for representations of $\overset{\circ}{G}(\mathbb{F}_q((t)))$, where $\overset{\circ}{G}$ is a linear algebraic group with Lie algebra $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$. However, a description of it as explicit as in the case of category $\mathcal{O}$ is not available. The works [SS97], [FST98], [FSST98] introduce a new type of representations of the simplest affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ satisfying a so-called *relaxed highest weight condition*, requiring the subalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} t\,\mathbb{C}[t]$ to act locally nilpotently on the representation in conjunction with additional finiteness conditions. This is therefore only slightly weaker than the usual highest weight condition. The first relaxed highest weight representations to look at are the Verma-type (induced) representations called *relaxed Verma modules*. Their structure was shown, by determining the so-called embedding diagrams [SS97][Section 3], to be reminiscent of the usual Verma modules for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$, albeit the relaxed Verma modules depend on one more complex parameter.

[1] This article is based on the author's PhD thesis [Eic16].

A possible motivation for the study of relaxed highest weight representations comes from two-dimensional conformal field theory, precisely the *(chiral) WZW model for* $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ *and* $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. The classical case is the case of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ and nonnegative integer level k, where the spectrum of the theory is given by the finitely many integrable representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ at this level. In the more sophisticated case of rational level, this model is formulated algebraically and was investigated in [Gab01], [Rid10], [Rid11], [Fje11], [RW15] (for specific values of the level). Namely, one considers (in mathematical parlance) certain representations of a *vertex operator algebra* defined as the simple quotient of the vacuum representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ at level k. These representations are in particular $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$-representations. That they are of highest weight is not required from the outset. What is however required is that the category of these representations closes under the so-called fusion and conjugation operation. In this way the above works arrive at the conclusion that it is natural to take relaxed highest weight representations into account. Indeed, [RW15][p. 629] write "[...] the relaxed category $\mathcal{R}$ is a rich source of modules for affine Kac-Moody (super)algebras that appears to be even more relevant to conformal field theory than the much more familiar category $\mathcal{O}$."

Going from $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ *to a general affine Kac-Moody algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ it is natural to relax the highest weight condition on its representations for an *arbitrarily chosen simple root* (or vertex of the Dynkin diagram) i of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the corresponding root vector (in the positive part of $\mathfrak{g}$) may act not locally nilpotently on such a representation. This is our reason for calling the $\mathfrak{g}$-modules appearing in this article relaxed highest weight modules. Our contribution on the side of representation theory is to provide a set of definitions of such $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. In [Eic15] we define a class of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-modules by explicit relations and based on this we define induced $\mathfrak{g}$-modules from the minimal parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to i in Section section 7. The latter are denoted by $\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)^{\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}}$ and depend on an element λ in the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$ and on a complex parameter α. The $\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)$ are analogues of the relaxed Verma modules of [FST98]. These definitions suffice to describe the cohomology groups of sheaves we construct as $\mathfrak{g}$-modules.

All the above cited works deal with explicit constructions of relaxed highest weight representations, but the *categories* formed by relaxed highest weight representations await to be systematically explored as it has been achieved for category $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Indeed, these categories are expected to have a similarly explicit description as category $\mathcal{O}$, but their combinatorics should be slightly more involved, and this is one of the reasons why we advocate the study of these categories. Some of the concrete tasks in this study are to parametrize the simple objects, check the existence of a duality functor, determine when a relaxed Verma module is irreducible, determine the Hom-spaces between relaxed Verma modules and the composition series of relaxed Verma modules. To try to solve them with the help of algebraic geometry is certainly a standard approach and the results of this article can provide first steps towards this goal.

1.2. **Matching representation theory with geometry.** Let us now describe our geometric realization of relaxed highest weight representations on the Kashiwara flag scheme associated to an affine Kac-Moody algebra. Such a realization has been given for highest weight representations in the seminal work [KT95], which is the main reference for this article. It translates questions in representation theory to questions concerning sheaves of $\mathcal{D}$-modules, this translation working at the categorical level and not just for single objects.

Important differences to the classical finite dimensional case can be seen in the absence of the Beilinson-Bernstein theorem [BB81] (the subsequent discussion will however reveal that partial results are still valid in the affine case). We recall the setup of [KT95] in Section section 2, in particular the notion of $\mathcal{D}$-modules on the Kashiwara flag scheme, and introduce notation related to affine Kac-Moody algebras. [KT95] concentrate on twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules constructed as direct images from the (finite dimensional) *Schubert cells* X_w in the Kashiwara flag scheme X associated to $\mathfrak{g}$. These are affine spaces indexed by the elements w of the Weyl group W of $\mathfrak{g}$. The basic reason why the Schubert cells enter the picture is the fact that the positive part $\mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ acts locally nilpotently on highest weight representations, which are to be constructed as global sections of these $\mathcal{D}$-modules. The twist is an arbitrary integral weight λ of $\mathfrak{g}$, which determines a line bundle on X and is a basic parameter in what follows. $\mathcal{D}$-module theory provides a $*$-direct image $\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)$ from the subscheme X_w as well as its holonomic dual, the !-direct image $\mathcal{M}_w(\lambda)$. The construction of these direct images crucially depends on the fact that the X_w are affinely embedded into smooth ambient varieties. There is also a $!*$-direct image $\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$, which is by construction a simple object. $\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{M}_w(\lambda)$ belong to the Serre subcategory $\mathrm{Hol}_0(\lambda)$ generated by the $\mathcal{L}_v(\lambda)$, $v \in W$, inside the *category* $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$ *of holonomic λ-twisted (right) $\mathcal{D}$-modules on X* with support in some $\overline{X_w}$ introduced in [KT95].

We instead study in Section section 3 the intersection $X_w \cap s_i X_w$ for w such that $s_i w < w$, where s_i denotes the simple reflection associated to i and $<$ denotes the Bruhat order. It is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{A}^{\ell(w)-1}$ and the explicit form of the isomorphism given in Lemma 3.1 is relevant for our arguments. In fact, in the same way as the X_w arise as orbits for the Iwahori group scheme $\mathrm{L}^+ I$ on the *thin flag variety* $X^{\mathrm{thin}} = \mathrm{L}\overset{\circ}{G}/\mathrm{L}^+ I$ of [BD91], these intersections of Schubert cells arise as *orbits for the subgroup* $\mathrm{L}^+ I \cap \dot{s}_i(\mathrm{L}^+ I)\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}$ *of the Iwahori group scheme* $\mathrm{L}^+ I$. Here $\dot{s}_i \in \mathrm{L}\overset{\circ}{G}$ is a lift of s_i and we assume $\mathfrak{g}$ untwisted. Thus, this stratification refines the stratification of X^{thin} by Schubert cells. In the simplest case of $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ and $i = 1$ this orbit stratification can be illustrated by the diagram



(1.1)

continuing infinitely to the right. In this diagram, the dimension of the orbits is $0, 1, 2, \ldots$ from left to right and there is a sequence of arrows connecting the orbit A with the orbit B if and only if $B \subseteq \partial A$ (w.r.t. the Zariski topology). We note that s_1 is obviously a "symmetry of this diagram", interchanging the orbit X_w with s_1X_w and inducing an automorphism of $X_w \cap s_1X_w$. The $\mathrm{L}^+ I$-orbit X_w splits into the two $\mathrm{L}^+ I \cap \dot{s}_1(\mathrm{L}^+ I)\dot{s}_1{}^{-1}$-orbits $X_w \cap s_1X_w$ and $s_1X_{s_1w}$ for $s_1w < w$, while it is also a $\mathrm{L}^+ I \cap \dot{s}_1(\mathrm{L}^+ I)\dot{s}_1{}^{-1}$-orbit in the complementary case.

We then describe the cohomology of the $\mathcal{D}$-modules constructed as direct images from the subscheme $X_w \cap s_iX_w$, where again *i is arbitrary but fixed.* The above description

of $X_w \cap s_i X_w$ as an orbit makes us expect that the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{n} \cap s_i\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_i$ of $\mathfrak{n}$, which consists of all positive root spaces of $\mathfrak{g}$ except the one associated to the simple root i, will act locally nilpotently on these cohomology groups. They should thus yield relaxed highest weight representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the sense of Section subsection 1.1 and their explicit description as $\mathfrak{g}$-modules in Section section 10 confirms this in interesting cases. In contrast to the Schubert cell X_w, the intersection $X_w \cap s_i X_w \cong \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{A}^{\ell(w)-1}$ is *not simply connected*. The $\mathbb{C}^\times$-factor supports nontrivial local systems, which we consider in their $\mathcal{O}$-module version (since we are working in the $\mathcal{D}$-module setting). Namely, we consider the local systems of rank one with monodromy $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$, where α is a complex parameter; this is defined relative to a choice of coordinate on $\mathbb{C}^\times$ (also, parameters differing by an integer amount to isomorphic local systems). The holonomic dual of such a local system has parameter $-\alpha$. These local systems on $\mathbb{C}^\times$ yield *local systems on* $X_w \cap s_i X_w$, still parametrized by α, of which we then form the $*$-direct image $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)$ and its holonomic dual, the !-direct image $\mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda,\alpha)$, in Section section 4. These objects of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$ with support $\overline{X_w}$ can thus be compared with the objects $\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{M}_w(\lambda)$ of [KT95]. Here it is crucial that $X_w \cap s_i X_w$, like the Schubert cell, is affinely embedded into the smooth ambient varieties. We first describe the structure of the global sections of $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)$ as a module over the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ in Section section 5 and show in Section section 6 that $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)$ has no higher cohomology. Later we prove in Corollary 9.2(1) that the higher cohomology of $\mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda,\alpha)$ vanishes for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, general w and λ regular antidominant.

We introduce in Section section 8 a functor $\widetilde{s}_{i*}$. We need it in the course of the proof of one of the main theorems of this article, Theorem 10.2, but in addition it has a nice geometric meaning. The functor $\widetilde{s}_{i*}$ can, as the notation suggests, be thought of as a $\mathcal{D}$-module direct image functor induced by the automorphism of X given by the action of the generator $\widetilde{s}_i$ of the *Tits extension of* W. $\widetilde{s}_{i*}$ defines an exact auto-equivalence of the category $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$. The effect of $\widetilde{s}_{i*}$ can already be seen on the level of the support of the $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$: $\operatorname{supp}\mathcal{M} \subseteq \overline{X_v}$ is translated to $\operatorname{supp}(\widetilde{s}_{i*}\mathcal{M}) \subseteq s_i\overline{X_v}$. This in particular shows that $\widetilde{s}_{i*}$ does not preserve the subcategory $\mathrm{Hol}_0(\lambda)$ and that $\widetilde{s}_{i*}$ realizes the "symmetry of the diagram" (1.1) at the level of $\mathcal{D}$-modules. The $\mathfrak{g}$-action on the cohomology groups of $\widetilde{s}_{i*}\mathcal{M}$ is the twist $(\cdot)^{\widetilde{s}_i}$ by the automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ induced by $\widetilde{s}_i$ of the $\mathfrak{g}$-action on the cohomology groups of $\mathcal{M}$ as we prove in Theorem 8.1. In accord with the fact that $\widetilde{s}_{i*}$ does not preserve $\mathrm{Hol}_0(\lambda)$, this twist of a highest weight representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ is in general, e.g. in the case of a Verma module, no longer of highest weight. It is also natural to introduce, with the help of the functor $\widetilde{s}_{i*}$, the Serre subcategories $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}\,\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda)$ of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$ similar to $\mathrm{Hol}_0(\lambda)$ and containing the latter. We do this in Section section 9.

In Section section 10 we identify the $\mathfrak{g}$-module structure of the cohomology of $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda,\alpha)$ in interesting cases. This includes a relaxed version of parts of the main theorem [KT95][Theorem 3.4.1] (this theorem requires λ to be regular antidominant). We describe in Section subsection 10.1 the cohomology of $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda,\alpha)$ in case $w = s_i$ and for all values of λ and α. This description includes the identification of the parameter α, which is of geometric origin, with the same-named parameter of the analogue of the relaxed Verma module mentioned in Section subsection 1.1. Based on the fact that $X_{s_i} \cap s_i X_{s_i}$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^\times$, sits inside the Schubert curve $\overline{X_{s_i}}$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ and closed in X, this description can be reduced to the description of the cohomology

of twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules on $\mathbb{P}^1$ arising as extensions from $\mathbb{C}^\times$. The solution of the latter task is the main goal of [Eic15]. In this way, the analysis of Section subsection 10.1 can be thought of as an elaborate version of the identification of the cohomology of the skyscraper $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda) = \mathcal{M}_1(\lambda)$, where the distinguished point 1 in X is replaced by the Schubert curve $\overline{X_{s_i}}$. We identify in Theorem 10.2 the global sections of $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda, \alpha)$ for $w \in W$ general, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and λ regular antidominant as a $\mathfrak{g}$-module belonging to the class introduced in Section section 7. The proof is based on a (geometrically constructed) short exact sequence

$$0 \to \widetilde{s_i}_* \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda) \to \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda, \alpha) \to \mathcal{B}_{s_i w}(\lambda) \to 0$$

in $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$. Applying the above mentioned Theorem 8.1 and [KT95][Theorem 3.4.1(i) and (ii)] yields injective $\mathfrak{g}$-maps from $\mathrm{M}(s_i w \cdot \lambda)$ and its $\widetilde{s_i}$-twist $\mathrm{M}(s_i w \cdot \lambda)^{\widetilde{s_i}}$ to the $\mathfrak{g}$-module dual to the global sections of $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda, \alpha)$. Here $\cdot$ denotes a shifted action of W on the weight lattice. An algebraic statement (Proposition 10.1) about the $\mathfrak{g}$-modules defined in Section section 7 then allows the identification of the global sections as such a $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

1.3. **Related results.** When $\mathfrak{g}$ is instead a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra and the Schubert cells X_w are considered, results analogous to the identification of global sections as $\mathfrak{g}$-modules in [KT95][Theorem 3.4.1] appear in [Kem77][Theorem 7], [BK81][equation (5.1.2) and Corollary 5.8] and [Gai05][Theorem 10.6], while the vanishing of higher cohomology is part of the Beilinson-Bernstein theorem. Let us come back to the case of affine Kac-Moody algebras. While [KT95][Theorem 3.4.1] is related to $\mathfrak{g}$ at the negative level since λ was assumed regular antidominant, it is important to mention that its positive level analogue exists in the form of [Kas90b][Theorem 5.2.1]. There the twist λ is required to be *dominant* and direct images from the *finite codimensional Schubert cells* X^w in the Kashiwara flag scheme X are considered. It should be possible to carry over the constructions of this article to this case. In the case of the finite dimensional Schubert cells X_w in X^{thin}, the recent work [FG09] gives the following reformulations. [FG09][Theorem 5.2] states a cohomology vanishing result following [BD91], see also [Sha10][Proposition 5.3.1]. In [FG09][Theorem 5.5] an *equivalence* between the category of Iwahori-equivariant twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules on X^{thin} (more precisely, its monodromic version) and a *block* of (a variant of) category $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is shown, combining the results of [BD91] with those of [KT95]. (As it was elaborated on above, the latter results also play a prominent role in this article.) It seems reasonable to try to extend this equivalence to an equivalence between a category of $\mathrm{L}^+ I \cap \dot{s}_i \mathrm{L}^+ I \dot{s}_i^{-1}$-equivariant twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules on X^{thin} and a category of relaxed highest weight modules and the results of this article might find an application in such an endeavor.

1.4. **Notation.** We indicate by a superscript in brackets, e.g. $\mathfrak{n}^{(-)}$, that both variants are considered separately, with and without a superscript, in the example $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{n}^-$. The comma is used similarly, see e.g Definition 4.1. The term variety and scheme means algebraic variety and scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ respectively. Notation used in the main text:

$\sqcup$	disjoint union
$\setminus$	difference of sets or quotient by a group acting on the left
e, h, f	standard basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$
$\mathcal{U}\,L$	universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra L
$\mathrm{S}\,V$	symmetric algebra of the vector space V
V^*	dual of a finite dimensional vector space V
$\mathrm{Lie}\,G$	Lie algebra of a linear algebraic group G over $\mathbb{C}$
$\mathbb{C}_\mu$	One dimensional $\mathfrak{h}$-module defined by $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$
$L-\mathrm{mod}$	category of left modules over a Lie algebra L
$\mathbb{A}^n$	affine n-space
$\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}$	multiplicative group
$\mathcal{D}_X$	sheaf of differential operators on a smooth variety X
Ω_X	right $\mathcal{D}_X$-module of top-degree forms on X
$f_.,\, f^{-1}$	direct and inverse image functor for sheaves of sets associated to a morphism f of varieties
$f^*\mathcal{L}$	pullback of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ by f
$\mathrm{supp}\,\mathcal{F}$	support of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$

1.5. **Acknowledgements.** I am indebted to my supervisor G. Felder for his attention to my work, for letting me present the results of this article and for his comments on the manuscript. I would also like to thank A.S. Khoroshkin and S. Arkhipov for several important comments related to this work.

2. Setup

We mostly follow [KT95][Section 1 and 2].

2.1. **Affine Kac-Moody algebras and associated group schemes.** Let $(\mathfrak{h}, (\alpha_i)_{i\in I}, (h_i)_{i\in I})$ be affine Kac-Moody data [Kac90]. Here I is a finite set. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Kac-Moody Lie algebra constructed from this data. Let $\Phi \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\Phi^{>0}$ and $\Phi^{<0} = -\Phi^{>0}$ be the subset of positive and negative roots respectively. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ be the triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. We fix a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $P \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\alpha_i \in P$ and $P(h_i) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \in I$. Let $i \in I$. We denote the corresponding simple generators by $e_i \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $f_i \in \mathfrak{n}^-$. We also define the following Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. We set $\mathfrak{b}^{(-)} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^{(-)}$. We set $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathbb{C}\,f_i$, the direct sum of the remaining root spaces is denoted by $\mathfrak{n}_i^-$ so that we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p}_i \oplus \mathfrak{n}_i^-$. Similarly we define $\mathfrak{p}_i^-$ and $\mathfrak{n}_i$. We also set $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathbb{C}\,e_i \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}\,f_i$ and $\mathfrak{g}_i' = \mathbb{C}\,e_i \oplus \mathbb{C}\,h_i \oplus \mathbb{C}\,f_i$. For $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{n}_l^- \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^-$ the Lie subalgebra consisting of root spaces associated to the negative roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ of height $\leq -l$.

2.1.1. *Weyl group.* Let W be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ [Kac90]. For $i \in I$ we denote the reflection corresponding to α_i by $s_i \in W$. W acts on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ and leaves Φ invariant. Let $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be such that $\rho(h_i) = 1$ for all $i \in I$. We define the $\cdot$-action of W on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ by $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$. This is independent of the choice of ρ. We denote by $<$ the Bruhat partial order on W and by $\ell : W \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the length function.

2.1.2. *Pro-unipotent group schemes.* For a subset $\Psi \subseteq \Phi^{>0}$ such that $(\Psi + \Psi) \cap \Phi^{>0}$ we define a pro-unipotent affine group scheme $U(\Psi) \subseteq U = U(\Phi^{>0})$ and similarly for $\Psi \subseteq \Phi^{<0}$ we define $U^-(\Psi) \subseteq U^-$ as in [KT95][Section 1]. If $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\Phi_l^{<0} \subseteq \Phi^{<0}$ denotes the subset of roots of height $\leq -l$, then we denote $U_l^- = U_l^-(\Phi_l^{<0})$.

2.1.3. *Group schemes T, B^-, P_i^- and G_i.* We define an algebraic torus T by $T = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[P]$, where $\mathbb{C}[P]$ is the group algebra of the abelian group P. For $i \in I$ there is an affine group scheme $P_i^{(-)}$ associated to $\mathfrak{p}_i^{(-)}$. It contains the Borel group scheme $B^{(-)} = T \ltimes U^{(-)}$ associated to $\mathfrak{b}^{(-)}$ as a closed subgroup scheme. Furthermore, there is a reductive group G_i such that $P_i^- = G_i \ltimes U^-(\Phi^{<0} \setminus \{-\alpha_i\})$. The Lie algebra of G_i is $\mathfrak{g}_i$.

2.2. **Kashiwara flag scheme.** Let X denote the Kashiwara flag scheme of [Kas90a] (indeed a scheme over $\mathbb{C}$) associated to the data $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{b}$ and P. It is constructed as $X = G/B$, the quotient of a scheme G (a version of the loop group) by the locally free right action of B. P_i^- acts on G and hence on X for $i \in I$. The so-called Tits extension $\widetilde{W}$ of W also acts on G and hence on X, see also [Eic16][Chapter 3]. The image of a T-invariant subset Y of X under the action of $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$ only depends on the image of $\widetilde{w}$ in W. Hence wY for $w \in W$ is defined independent of the choice of lift of w. X is covered by open subschemes $N(X_w) = wN(X_1) \cong \mathbb{A}^\infty$, $w \in W$. Usually $N(X_1)$ is referred to as the big cell of X. There are T-equivariant isomorphisms of schemes

$$U^-(\Phi^{<0} \cap w\Phi^{<0}) \times U(\Phi^{>0} \cap w\Phi^{<0}) \xrightarrow{\cong} N(X_w)\ , (u_1, u_2) \mapsto u_1 u_2 wB\ . \tag{2.1}$$

The image of $1 \times U(\Phi^{>0} \cap w\Phi^{<0})$ is the (finite dimensional) Schubert cell X_w in X. It is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{\ell(w)}$. We will abbreviate $U_w = U(\Phi^{>0} \cap w\Phi^{<0})$ and $U_w^- = U^-(\Phi^{<0} \cap w\Phi^{<0})$ in what follows. We also define $X^{\leq w} = \bigcup_{y \leq w} N(X_y)$. The $X^{\leq w}$, $w \in W$, form a cover of X by B^--invariant quasi-compact open subschemes of X. For each $\lambda \in P$ there is a natural line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)$ on X that is P_i^--equivariant for each i. By definition, the fiber of $\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)$ at the distinguished point $1 \in X$ has a right B-action given by $B \twoheadrightarrow T \xrightarrow{-\lambda} \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$.

2.3. **$\mathcal{D}$-modules on the Kashiwara flag scheme.** We recall how [KT95][Section 2] give a meaning to twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules on X and how they define their cohomology groups; this is not immediate as X is not locally of finite type.

2.3.1. *Twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules.* When Y is a smooth variety, $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on Y and Z a closed subset of Y we denote by $\operatorname{Hol}\left(\mathcal{D}_Y^{\mathcal{L}}, Z\right)$ the category of holonomic right $\mathcal{D}_Y^{\mathcal{L}}$-modules with set-theoretic support in Z. Here $\mathcal{D}_Y^{\mathcal{L}}$ is the sheaf of $\mathcal{L}$-twisted differential operators on Y. This category is abelian, each object has finite length and it possesses an exact auto-equivalence called holonomic duality $\mathbb{D}$. The following proposition is well-known, see e.g. [KT96][Proposition 1.2.8].

Proposition 2.1.

(1) Let $f : X_1 \to X_2$ be a morphism of smooth varieties. Let Z_1 be a closed subvariety of X_1 and Z_2 be a closed subvariety of X_2 such that f induces an isomorphism $Z_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} Z_2$. Then f_* induces an exact equivalence $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_{X_1}^{f^*\mathcal{L}}, Z_1) \simeq \mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_{X_2}^{\mathcal{L}}, Z_2)$.
(2) Let $\iota_{V_1} : V_1 \hookrightarrow Z_1$ be a smooth locally closed subvariety. Then $\iota_{f(V_1)} : f(V_1) \hookrightarrow Z_2$ is also a smooth locally closed subvariety. f_* sends $\iota_{V_1*}(\Omega_{V_1}) \otimes f^*\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_{X_1}^{f^*\mathcal{L}}, Z_1)$ to $\iota_{f(V_1)*}(\Omega_{f(V_1)}) \otimes \mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_{X_2}^{\mathcal{L}}, Z_2)$.
(3) $f_* \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D} f_*$ as a functor $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_{X_1}^{f^*\mathcal{L}}, Z_1) \to \mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{D}_{X_2}^{\mathcal{L}}, Z_2)$

□

Coming back to the Kashiwara flag scheme X, the group scheme U_l^- acts freely on $X^{\leq w}$ and $X_l^{\leq w} = U_l^- \backslash X^{\leq w}$ is a smooth quasi-projective variety for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ large enough. This is a result of [SVV14][Lemma A.2]. *The following convention is important: whenever considering $X_l^{\leq w}$ in the sequel it will be understood that l is large enough.* The closed immersion $\overline{X_w} \hookrightarrow X^{\leq w}$ of the Schubert variety then induces a closed immersion $\overline{X_w} \hookrightarrow X_l^{\leq w}$. We will denote the projections by $p_l : X^{\leq w} \to X_l^{\leq w}$ and $p_l^k : X_k^{\leq w} \to X_l^{\leq w}$ for $k \geq l$. As an instance of the above category we consider $\mathrm{Hol}\left(\mathcal{D}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda), \overline{X_w}\right)$. Here $\mathcal{D}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda)$ is the sheaf of differential operators on $X_l^{\leq w}$ twisted by $\mathcal{O}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda)$, a line bundle on $X_l^{\leq w}$ satisfying

$$p_l^* \mathcal{O}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)|X^{\leq w} \quad p_l^{k*} \mathcal{O}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{X_k^{\leq w}}(\lambda) \,. \tag{2.2}$$

It will be convenient to work with right $\mathcal{D}$-modules in this article because forming direct images is easier than for left $\mathcal{D}$-modules. Furthermore one considers a category $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda, \overline{X_w}, X^{\leq w}, l_0)$ whose objects are systems $(\mathcal{M}_l)_{l \geq l_0}$, where $\mathcal{M}_l$ is an object of $\mathrm{Hol}\left(\mathcal{D}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda), \overline{X_w}\right)$ and for different l the $\mathcal{M}_l$ are related by isomorphisms

$$\gamma_l^k : p_{l*}^k \mathcal{M}_k \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{M}_l \tag{2.3}$$

satisfying the coherence condition

$$\gamma_{l_3}^{l_1} = \gamma_{l_3}^{l_2} p_{l_3*}^{l_2} \gamma_{l_2}^{l_1} \,,\ l_1 \geq l_2 \geq l_3 \,. \tag{2.4}$$

Even though the γ_l^k are part of the data, we will often omit them for brevity. We have for each l an exact equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda, \overline{X_w}, X^{\leq w}, l_0) \to \mathrm{Hol}\left(\mathcal{D}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda), \overline{X_w}\right) \,, (\mathcal{M}_l)_{l \geq l_0} \mapsto \mathcal{M}_l \,. \tag{2.5}$$

The category $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$ is then defined by taking limits, see [KT95], where it is denoted by $\mathbb{H}(\lambda)$. $\mathbb{D}$ induces an exact auto-equivalence of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$ that will be denoted by the same symbol.

2.3.2. *Cohomology groups* $\mathrm{H}^j(X, \cdot)$ *and* $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \cdot)$. Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_l)_{l \geq l_0}$ be an object of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda, \overline{X_w}, X^{\leq w}, l_0)$. For each l we have the usual sheaf cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^j(X_l^{\leq w}, \mathcal{M}_l)$, $j \geq 0$, carrying a left action of $\mathfrak{b}^-$ and these form an inverse system when l varies. Here

the left $\mathfrak{b}^-$-action is defined so that it differs by a sign from the natural right $\mathfrak{b}^-$-action on $\mathrm{H}^j(X_l^{\leq w}, \mathcal{M}_l)$, see [KT95][p. 39]. One defines $\mathrm{H}^j(X, \mathcal{M}) = \varprojlim_{l \geq l_0} \mathrm{H}^j(X_l^{\leq w}, \mathcal{M}_l)$. One furthermore constructs a left $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\mathrm{H}^j(X, \mathcal{M})$ from the right $\mathcal{D}$-module structure on $\mathcal{M}_l$ as follows. Let $v \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then there is an $m \geq 0$ such that $[v, \mathfrak{n}_{l+m}^-] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_l^-$ for all $l \geq 0$ and v defines a section

$$\partial_l^{l+m}(v) \in \mathrm{H}^0(X_{l+m}^{\leq w}, F_1\mathcal{D}_{l+m \to l}(\lambda)) \,, \tag{2.6}$$

see [KT95][Lemma 2.3.1]. Here $F_1\mathcal{D}_{l+m\to l}(\lambda)$ denotes the first term of the order filtration of the transfer bimodule associated to p_l^{l+m}. In this way v defines a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\mathrm{H}^j(X_{l+m}^{\leq w}, \mathcal{M}_{l+m}) \to \mathrm{H}^j(X_l^{\leq w}, \mathcal{M}_l)$ and hence an element of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^j(X, \mathcal{M})$. For a $\mathfrak{h}$-module M let

$$M_\mu = \{m \in M \mid \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\ (h - \mu(h))^N m = 0\ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$$

be the generalized weight space associated to $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. We denote $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \mathcal{M}) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathrm{H}^j(X, \mathcal{M})_\mu$. This is a $\mathfrak{g}$-submodule of $\mathrm{H}^j(X, \mathcal{M})$.

3. Intersections of Schubert cells $X_w \cap s_i X_w$

In this section we assume $s_i w < w$. (2.1) gives T-equivariant isomorphisms

$$U_w^- \times U(\alpha_i) \times^{s_i} U_{s_i w} \xrightarrow{\cong} N(X_w) \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$^{s_i}U_w^- \times U^-(-\alpha_i) \times U_{s_i w} \xrightarrow{\cong} N(X_{s_i w}) \,. \tag{3.2}$$

Here $^{s_i}(\cdot) = s_i(\cdot)s_i^{-1}$ denotes the conjugate subgroup. The following lemma describes the intersection of the Schubert cell X_w with its translate $s_i X_w$.

Lemma 3.1. We have $X_w \cap s_i X_w = s_i X_w \setminus X_{s_i w} = X_w \setminus s_i X_{s_i w}$. (Here $\setminus$ denotes the difference of sets.) Under the isomorphisms (3.1) and (3.2) the identity map $s_i X_w \setminus X_{s_i w} \to X_w \setminus s_i X_{s_i w}$ is the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} &(U^-(-\alpha_i) \setminus 1) \times U_{s_i w} \to (U(\alpha_i) \setminus 1) \times^{s_i} U_{s_i w} \\ &(e^{z f_i}, h_i(z)^{-1} u h_i(z)) \mapsto (e^{z^{-1} e_i}, \dot{s}_i^{-1} \widetilde{u}(z) \dot{s}_i) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Here $z \in \mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m}$ and $\dot{s}_i = e^{e_i} e^{-f_i} e^{e_i}$. h_i is considered as a group homomorphism $\mathbb{G}_\mathrm{m} \to T$. Given u and z, $\widetilde{u}(z) \in U_{s_i w}$ is uniquely determined by the condition $e^{z e_i} u \in \widetilde{u}(z) U(\Phi^{>0} \cap s_i w \Phi^{>0})$.

Proof. 1. $X_w \cap s_i X_w \subseteq X_w \setminus s_i X_{s_i w}$ and $X_w \cap s_i X_w \subseteq s_i X_w \setminus X_{s_i w}$. Let $e^{x e_i} v_2 w B = e^{z f_i} u_2 s_i w B \in X_w \cap s_i X_w$, where $x, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $u_2 \in U_{s_i w}$, $v_2 \in^{s_i} U_{s_i w}$. If $z = 0$ the rhs is in $X_{s_i w}$ and because of $X_{s_i w} \cap X_w = \varnothing$ this is impossible. If $x = 0$ the lhs is in $s_i X_{s_i w}$ and again this is impossible.

2. $X_w \cap s_i X_w = s_i X_w \setminus X_{s_i w} = X_w \setminus s_i X_{s_i w}$ and the given map corresponds to the identity

of $X_w \cap s_i X_w$.

We check $e^{zf_i}h_i(z)^{-1}uh_i(z)s_iwB = e^{z^{-1}e_i}\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}\widetilde{u}(z)s_iwB$. This shows that the given map corresponds to the identity map of $X_w \cap s_i X_w$ and in particular it is an isomorphism. Indeed, we have $e^{z^{-1}e_i}\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}e^{ze_i}h_i(z) = e^{zf_i}$. It follows

$$\begin{aligned} e^{zf_i}h_i(z)^{-1}uh_i(z)s_iwB &= e^{z^{-1}e_i}\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}e^{ze_i}us_iwB \in e^{z^{-1}e_i}\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}\widetilde{u}(z)U(\Phi^{>0}\cap s_iw\Phi^{>0})s_iwB \\ &= e^{z^{-1}e_i}\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}\widetilde{u}(z)s_iw(s_iw)^{-1}U(\Phi^{>0}\cap s_iw\Phi^{>0})s_iwB = e^{z^{-1}e_i}\dot{s}_i{}^{-1}\widetilde{u}(z)s_iwB \end{aligned}$$

as $(s_iw)^{-1}U(\Phi^{>0}\cap s_iw\Phi^{>0})s_iw = U((s_iw)^{-1}\Phi^{>0}\cap\Phi^{>0}) \subseteq B$. □

4. $\mathcal{D}$-module direct images from $X_w \cap s_i X_w$

In this section we assume $s_iw < w$. We consider the embedding

$$i_{w,l} : X_w \cap s_i X_w \hookrightarrow X_l^{\leq w} \tag{4.1}$$

(for l large enough). We note that $i_{w,l}$ is locally closed and affine and identify its domain of definition with $\mathbb{C}^\times \times^{s_i} U_{s_iw}$ in view of Lemma 3.1. We define analogues of $\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)_l$ and $\mathcal{M}_w(\lambda)_l$ of [KT95][(3.1.1) and (3.1.3)].

Definition 4.1. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in P$ we define the right $\mathcal{D}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda)$-module as

$$\mathcal{R}_{*,!w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l = i_{w,l*,!}\left(\left(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{(\alpha)} \boxtimes \Omega_{s_iU_{s_iw}}\right) \otimes i_{w,l}^*\mathcal{O}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda)\right) .$$

Here we introduced the right $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}$-module $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{(\alpha)} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}/(x\partial_x - \alpha)\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ as in [Eic15]. The coordinate x on $\mathbb{C}^\times$ is the one of $U(\alpha_i)$. Thus $x = \infty$ corresponds to X_{s_iw}. By definition $\mathcal{R}_{*,!w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l$ is the direct image of a line bundle with flat connection on $X_w \cap s_i X_w$ depending on α (isomorphic to $\Omega_{X_w\cap s_iX_w}$ if and only if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Remark 4.1. As a direct consequence of [Eic15][Remark 2.3] we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{*,!w}(\lambda,\alpha+1)_l \cong \mathcal{R}_{*,!w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l \qquad \mathbb{D}\,\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l \cong \mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda,-\alpha)_l .$$

By Proposition 2.1(2) we see that $\mathcal{R}_{*,!w}(\lambda,\alpha) = (\mathcal{R}_{*,!w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l)_{l\geq l_0}$ is an object of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda, \overline{X_w}, X^{\leq w}, l_0)$.

Notation 4.1. In case $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ we abbreviate $\mathcal{R}_{*,!w}(\lambda)_l = \mathcal{R}_{*,!w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l$ and $\mathcal{R}_{*,!w}(\lambda) = \mathcal{R}_{*,!w}(\lambda,\alpha)$. Thus, these are isomorphism classes rather than objects.

5. $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha))$ as $\mathfrak{h}$-module

The following theorem is similar to [KT95][Lemma 3.2.1].

Theorem 5.1. We have isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{h}$-modules

(1) $\mathrm{H}^0(X_l^{\leq w}, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l) \cong \mathbb{C}[z,z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{n}_i^-/\mathfrak{n}_l^-) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}_{s_iw\cdot\lambda+\alpha\alpha_i}$

(2) $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)) \cong \mathbb{C}[z,z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{S}\,\mathfrak{n}_i^- \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}_{s_iw\cdot\lambda+\alpha\alpha_i}$

Here z has weight α_i.

Proof. (1) The $\mathfrak{h}$-module $\mathrm{H}^0(X_l^{\leq w}, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l)$ can be computed in the same way as the $\mathfrak{h}$-module $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(N(X_{s_iw})_l, \mathcal{M}_w(\lambda)_l)$ is computed in [KT95][(3.6.4)], as we will explain. Here $N(X_{s_iw})_l = U_l^-\backslash N(X_{s_iw})$ is open in $X_l^{\leq w}$. Since $i_{w,l}$ is the composition of

$$\kappa_{w,l} : X_w \cap N(X_{s_iw})_l = X_w \cap s_i X_w \hookrightarrow N(X_{s_iw})_l$$

with the open embedding $N(X_{s_iw})_l \hookrightarrow X_l^{\leq w}$, we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)_l|N(X_{s_iw})_l \cong \kappa_{w,l*}(\Omega_{X_w\cap s_iX_w} \otimes i_{w,l}^*\mathcal{O}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda)) \cong \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)_l|N(X_{s_iw})_l\ . \tag{5.1}$$

Replacing $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ by $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{(\alpha)}$ in the computation leading to the expression [KT95][(3.6.4)] gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{H}^0(N(X_{s_iw})_l, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l)\\ &\cong \mathcal{U}\,\mathrm{Lie}({}^{s_i}U_{w,l}^-) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^0\left(\mathbb{C}^\times, \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{(\alpha)}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^0(U_{s_iw}, \mathcal{O}_{U_{s_iw}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\,\omega \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\,t_l^{s_iw,\lambda}\end{aligned}$$

as $\mathfrak{h}$-module. We have denoted ${}^{s_i}U_{w,l}^- = U_l^-\backslash {}^{s_i}U_w^-$. Here ω is a nowhere vanishing section of $\mathrm{H}^0(U_{s_iw}, \Omega_{U_{s_iw}})$ and $t_l^{s_iw,\lambda} \in \mathrm{H}^0(N(X_{s_iw})_l, \mathcal{O}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda))$ is the nowhere vanishing section defined in [KT95][(3.2.4)]. Then $1 \otimes \overline{1}z^n \otimes 1 \otimes \omega \otimes t_l^{s_iw,\lambda}$ has weight $s_iw\cdot\lambda + (\alpha+n)\alpha_i$. We simplify this to

$$\mathrm{H}^0(N(X_{s_iw})_l, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l) \cong \mathbb{C}[z,z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{n}_i^-/\mathfrak{n}_l^-) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}_{s_iw\cdot\lambda+\alpha\alpha_i}\ ,$$

where z is given the weight α_i. The restriction maps give isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{H}^0(N(X_w)_l, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l) \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathrm{H}^0(X_l^{\leq w}, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{H}^0(N(X_{s_iw})_l, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l)\ .$$

We remark that the corresponding statement for $\mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l$ is not a priori true.
(2) directly follows from (1). □

6. Cohomology vanishing

The following lemma and its proof is similar to [KT95][Lemma 3.2.1(ii)] and its proof.

Lemma 6.1. $\mathrm{H}^j(X_l^{\leq w}, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l) = 0$ and consequently $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)) = 0$ for $j > 0$. □

7. $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)^{(\vee\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$

7.1. Definition of $\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)^{(\vee\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$.

Definition 7.1. In [Eic15] we introduced right weight $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-modules depending on two parameters. We use them in the present definition, denoting the module $\mathrm{R}(\Lambda,\alpha)^{(\vee)}$ of loc. cit. by $\mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\Lambda,\alpha)^{(\vee\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ and considering it as a left module via the anti-involution $-\mathrm{id}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. We define the $\mathfrak{g}$-module $\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)^{\vee\mathfrak{sl}_2}$

$$\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)^{(\vee\mathfrak{sl}_2)} = \mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{p}_i} \left(\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\lambda(h_i),\alpha)^{(\vee\mathfrak{sl}_2)}\right)$$

for $\lambda \in P$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Here $\mathfrak{p}_i$ acts on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\lambda(h_i),\alpha)^{(\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2})}$ via the projection

$$\mathfrak{p}_i \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{p}_i/\mathfrak{n}_i = \mathfrak{g}_i = \{h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \alpha_i(h) = 0\} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_i' \,. \tag{7.1}$$

Moreover $\mathfrak{g}_i'$ is identified with $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ by $e_i \mapsto e, f_i \mapsto f, h_i \mapsto h$ (the modules $\mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\lambda(h_i),\alpha)^{(\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2})}$ depend on the choice of h).

Here we use the notation $(\cdot)^{\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}}$ in order to distinguish it from the duality functor $(\cdot)^\vee$ of [KT95][Section 1.2], denoted $(\cdot)^*$ there, which we will also need.

It follows that the vector $1 \otimes (1 \otimes 1) \in \mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)$ has weight $\lambda + \alpha\alpha_i$. Indeed, for $g \in \mathfrak{h}$ we have, applying (7.1),

$$g(1 \otimes (1 \otimes 1)) = 1 \otimes ((g - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_i(g)h_i)1) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (1 \otimes \frac{1}{2}\alpha_i(g)h_i 1) \,.$$

By the defining relations for $\mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\lambda(h_i),\alpha)$ given in [Eic15] and our conventions we have

$$1 \otimes (1 \otimes h_i 1) = -1 \otimes (1 \otimes 1h) = (2\alpha + \lambda(h_i))1 \otimes (1 \otimes 1)$$

and it follows that $g(1 \otimes (1 \otimes 1)) = (\lambda + \alpha\alpha_i)(g)(1 \otimes (1 \otimes 1))$. The Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_i$ annihilates the $\mathfrak{g}_i$-submodule $\mathbb{C}1 \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\lambda(h_i),\alpha)^{(\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2})}$ of $\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)^{(\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2})}$ due to (7.1). By the above computation of the weight of $1\otimes(1\otimes 1)$ we have an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{h}$-modules

$$\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\lambda(h_i),\alpha)^{(\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2})} \cong \mathbb{C}[z,z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda+\alpha\alpha_i} \,, \tag{7.2}$$

where z has weight α_i, sending $1 \otimes 1^{(\vee)} \mapsto 1 \otimes 1$. For the case of $(\cdot)^{\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}}$ we used the fact that the duality $(\cdot)^{\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-modules defined in [Eic15] does not change the $\mathbb{C}\,h_i$-module. By the PBW theorem $\mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{n}_i^- \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{p}_i$ as left $\mathfrak{n}_i^-$-module and hence the action of $\mathfrak{n}_i^-$ on this submodule is free and generates the module. The isomorphism of $\mathfrak{h}$-modules

$$\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)^{(\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2})} \cong \mathrm{S}\,\mathfrak{n}_i^- \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[z,z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda+\alpha\alpha_i} \tag{7.3}$$

sending $1\otimes(1\otimes 1^{(\vee)}) \mapsto 1\otimes 1\otimes 1$ directly follows from (7.2). From Theorem 5.1(2) and (7.3) we see that we have an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{h}$-modules $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)) \cong \mathrm{R}(s_i w \cdot \lambda,\alpha)^{(\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2})} \cong \mathrm{R}(w \cdot \lambda,\alpha)^{(\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2})}$ for any $\lambda \in P$.

Notation 7.1. We introduce $\mathrm{R}(\lambda,?)^{(\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2})}$ as the isomorphism classes of $\mathrm{R}(\lambda,\alpha)^{(\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2})}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ by considering in Definition 7.1 the corresponding isomorphism class $\mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\lambda(h_i),?)^{(\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2})}$ of [Eic15], where $? \in \{=,<,>\}$. This is convenient since it eliminates the parameter α from the notation when $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us also recall the standard notion of the *Verma module* $\mathrm{M}(\lambda) = \mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{b}} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ of highest weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ acts via $\mathfrak{b} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{h}$ on $\mathbb{C}_\lambda$.

7.2. **Comments on the literature and terminology.** The $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $\mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{p}_i} M$, where M is a finite dimensional simple $\mathfrak{g}_i$-module considered as a $\mathfrak{p}_i$-module via (7.1), are called parabolic Verma modules, see e.g. [Hum08][Section 9.4].

At least in the case $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ ($I = \{0, 1\}$) the modules $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, \alpha)$ have appeared in the literature. The $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$-modules $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, \alpha)$ were introduced and studied under the name *relaxed Verma modules* in [SS97], [FST98], [FSST98]. Indeed, in terms of the loop algebra realization $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2} = (\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \oplus \mathbb{C}\, K \oplus \mathbb{C}\, d$ and for $i = 1$, we can describe $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, \alpha)$ as being generated by a vector v satisfying

$$(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes t\,\mathbb{C}[t])v = 0 \quad (f \otimes 1)(e \otimes 1)v = \mu v \quad (h \otimes 1)v = jv \quad Kv = kv \quad dv = \delta v\ .$$

Here the parameters $\mu, j, k, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$ are determined by λ and α. This is called relaxed highest weight condition. Furthermore, the action of the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes t^{-1}\,\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ on the $(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}\,1) \oplus \mathbb{C}\,K \oplus \mathbb{C}\,d$-submodule

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{C}(f \otimes 1)^n v \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{C}(e \otimes 1)^n v$$

generates $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, \alpha)$ freely. In [Rid10], [Fje11], [RW15] and elsewhere these modules have recently been investigated in the context of two-dimensional conformal field theory. The name relaxed is the reason for our choice of the letter R in the notation. We propose to call all modules $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, \alpha)^{(\vee\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$ of Definition 7.1 and more generally $\mathfrak{g}$-modules on which the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_i$ acts locally nilpotently *relaxed highest weight modules*. This explains the title of this article.

8. Exact auto-equivalence $\widetilde{s}_{i*}$ of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$

The element $\widetilde{s}_i \in \widetilde{W}$, $i \in I$, acts by the automorphism $e^{e_i} e^{-f_i} e^{e_i}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We will denote this automorphism by $\widetilde{s}_i$, too.

Definition 8.1. In the standard manner $\widetilde{s}_i$ induces a functor $(\cdot)^{\widetilde{s}_i} : \mathfrak{g} - \mathrm{mod} \to \mathfrak{g} - \mathrm{mod}$. Namely, for $M \in \mathfrak{g} - \mathrm{mod}$ we define $M^{\widetilde{s}_i} \in \mathfrak{g} - \mathrm{mod}$ by $vm = \widetilde{s}_i(v)m$ for $m \in M$, $v \in \mathfrak{g}$, where on the rhs we mean the $\mathfrak{g}$-action on M.

Thus, by definition M and $M^{\widetilde{s}_i}$ have the same underlying vector space. $(\cdot)^{\widetilde{s}_i}$ is an exact auto-equivalence of $\mathfrak{g} - \mathrm{mod}$.

$\widetilde{s}_i$ defines automorphisms of schemes $\widetilde{s}_i : G \to G$ and $\widetilde{s}_i : X \to X$. *We will abbreviate $\widetilde{s}_i$ to s in this section and denote by the same letter the simple reflection s_i, its image in the quotient W. (It will be clear from the context which object we will be referring to.)*

Lemma 8.1. We have $\mathfrak{n}^-_{l+d} \subseteq s\mathfrak{n}^-_l \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^-_{l-d}$ for $d \geq \Delta = 4$ and $l - d \geq 0$.

Proof. This is a direct application of [Kac90][Exercise 5.13, 5.14]. □

The number Δ will occur as a parameter in the constructions below. We note that for w such that $sw < w$ we have $P_i^- X^{\leq w} = X^{\leq w}$, thus $sX^{\leq w} = X^{\leq w}$, and $s\overline{X_w} = \overline{X_w}$. *In this section we will abbreviate $Y = X^{\leq w}$ for w such that $sw < w$.*

8.1. **The morphisms $s^l_{l'}$.** Lemma 8.1 implies that ${}^sU_l^-$, the s-conjugate of U_l^-, is a closed subgroup scheme of $U_{l'}^-$ for $l \geq l' + \Delta$. Hence we have a quotient morphism ${}^sU_l^-\backslash Y \to Y_{l'}$ (l' large enough), which is a morphism whose fibers are isomorphic to a finite dimensional affine space, in particular it is an affine morphism.

Definition 8.2. We define $s^l_{l'}$ as the composition

$$s^l_{l'} : Y_l \xrightarrow{\cong} {}^sU_l^-\backslash Y \to Y_{l'} ,$$

where the first morphism is the isomorphism induced by s.

Thus $p_{l'}s = s^l_{l'}p_l$ holds. Clearly $s^l_{l'}p^k_l = s^k_{l'}$ and $p^{k'}_{l'}s^k_{k'} = s^k_{l'}$ holds for $k \geq l$ and $k' \geq l'$.

Remark 8.1. There is an isomorphism $s^*\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)$ of line bundles sending a local section $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(s\cdot)$. We will consider the isomorphism of sheaves $1_s : s^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)|Y \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)|Y$. Similarly, there are isomorphisms $(s^l_{l'})^*\mathcal{O}_{Y_{l'}}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{Y_l}(\lambda)$ for $l \geq l' + \Delta$ sending the distinguished local section $t^{sv,\lambda}_{l'}$ of [KT95][(3.2.4)] to a nonzero multiple of $t^{v,\lambda}_l$, where $v \leq w$. These isomorphisms are compatible with the isomorphisms $p^{k*}_l\mathcal{O}_{Y_l}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{Y_k}(\lambda)$ of (2.2). We will also consider the monomorphism of sheaves $1_{s^l_{l'}} : (s^l_{l'})^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{Y_{l'}}(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_l}(\lambda)$ corresponding to $(s^l_{l'})^*\mathcal{O}_{Y_{l'}}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{Y_l}(\lambda)$.

8.2. **Definition of s_*.**

Definition 8.3. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$. Then there is a $w \in W$ with $sw < w$ such that $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Hol}(\lambda, \overline{X_w}, X^{\leq w}, l_0)$. We define $(s_*\mathcal{M})_l = s^{l+\Delta}_{l*}\mathcal{M}_{l+\Delta}$ for $l \geq l_0$.

Lemma 8.2. s_* defines an exact auto-equivalence of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$.

Proof. The functor $s^{l+\Delta}_{l*}$ is an exact equivalence

$$\mathrm{Hol}\left(\mathcal{D}^{(s^{l+\Delta}_l)^*\mathcal{O}_{Y_l}(\lambda)}_{Y_{l+\Delta}}, \overline{X_w}\right) \to \mathrm{Hol}\left(\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{O}_{Y_l}(\lambda)}_{Y_l}, \overline{X_w}\right) \tag{8.1}$$

by Proposition (2.1)(1). We identify the source category with $\mathrm{Hol}\left(\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{O}_{Y_{l+\Delta}}(\lambda)}_{Y_{l+\Delta}}, \overline{X_w}\right)$ by the isomorphism of line bundles described in Remark 8.1. The isomorphisms (2.3) induce isomorphisms

$$\delta^k_l = s^{l+\Delta}_{l*}(\gamma^{k+\Delta}_{l+\Delta}) : p^k_{l*}(s_*\mathcal{M})_k \xrightarrow{\cong} (s_*\mathcal{M})_l \tag{8.2}$$

and one checks that the latter again satisfy the coherence condition (2.4). It follows that $((s_*\mathcal{M})_l)_{l\geq l_0} \in \mathrm{Hol}(\lambda, \overline{X_w}, X^{\leq w}, l_0)$. It is then clear, since the construction does not depend on the choice of w, that s_* indeed defines a functor $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda) \to \mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$. Due to the exact equivalences (2.5) the fact that (8.1) is an exact equivalence implies that s_* is an exact equivalence. □

Remark 8.2. In Definition 8.3 we can replace $l + \Delta$ by a more general function of l. The resulting functor will be isomorphic to s_*.

Proposition 2.1(3) implies

Lemma 8.3. $\mathbb{D}\, s_* = s_*\, \mathbb{D}$ holds on $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$. □

8.3. **Cohomology groups** $\mathrm{H}^j(X, s_*\mathcal{M})$**.** We recall the transfer $(\mathcal{D}_{Y_{l+m}}(\lambda), (p_l^{l+m})^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{Y_l}(\lambda))$-bimodule

$$\mathcal{D}_{Y_{l+m}\to Y_l}(\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}}((p_l^{l+m})^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{Y_l}(-\lambda), \mathcal{O}_{Y_{l+m}}(-\lambda))$$

for the morphism p_l^{l+m} (here $m \geq 0$), see e.g. [KT95][(2.1.9)]. *In this and the next section we will abbreviate* $\mathcal{O}_{Y_l}(-\lambda)$ *by* $\mathcal{O}_l$*,* $\mathcal{D}_{Y_l}(\lambda)$ *by* $\mathcal{D}_l$ *and* $\mathcal{D}_{Y_{l+m}\to Y_l}(\lambda)$ *by* $\mathcal{D}_{l+m\to l}$ *in order to make the expressions more readable.* In the same way as we introduced $s_{l'}^l$ in Definition 8.2 we construct the morphisms $t_{l'}^l : Y_l \to Y_{l'}$, $l \geq l' + \Delta$, from the automorphism $t = s^{-1} : X \to X$ inverse to s. This again works due to Lemma 8.1. We then have $t_{l'}^l s_l^k = p_{l'}^k = s_{l'}^l t_l^k$. We define the morphism of sheaves

$$\epsilon : (s_{l'}^{k'})^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{l'\to l} \to \mathcal{D}_{k'\to k},\ p \mapsto \epsilon(p)\ , \tag{8.3}$$

where $\epsilon(p)$ is the composition

$$(p_k^{k'})^{-1}\mathcal{O}_k \xrightarrow{(s_l^{k'})^{-1}1_{t_k^l}} (s_l^{k'})^{-1}\mathcal{O}_l \xrightarrow{p} (s_{l'}^{k'})^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{l'} \xrightarrow{1_{s_{l'}^{k'}}} \mathcal{O}_{k'}\ .$$

This is defined whenever $k' \geq l' + \Delta$, $l \geq k + \Delta$, $l' \geq l$. We used the morphism of sheaves $1_{s_{l'}^{k'}}$ defined in Remark 8.1 and the similar morphism $1_{t_k^l}$ associated to t_k^l. ϵ is a monomorphism. It can be understood as the embedding of the middle factor into the tensor product under the isomorphism of bimodules

$$\mathcal{D}_{s_{l'}^{k'}} \otimes_{(s_{l'}^{k'})^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{l'}} (s_{l'}^{k'})^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{l'\to l} \otimes_{(s_l^{k'})^{-1}\mathcal{D}_l} \otimes (s_l^{k'})^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{t_k^l} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}_{k'\to k}$$

induced by the factorization $p_k^{k'} = t_k^l p_l^{l'} s_{l'}^{k'}$ (and also defined via composition), cf. [KT95][(2.3.2)]. Here $\mathcal{D}_{s_{l'}^{k'}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{t_k^l}$ is the transfer bimodule associated to $s_{l'}^{k'}$ and t_k^l respectively and we have the relation

$$1_{s_{l'}^{k'}} \in \mathcal{D}_{s_{l'}^{k'}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}}((s_{l'}^{k'})^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{l'}, (s_{l'}^{k'})^*\mathcal{O}_{l'}) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}}((s_{l'}^{k'})^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{l'}, \mathcal{O}_{k'})$$

and similarly

$$1_{t_k^l} \in \mathcal{D}_{t_k^l} \subseteq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}}((t_k^l)^{-1}\mathcal{O}_k, (t_k^l)^*\mathcal{O}_k) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}}((t_k^l)^{-1}\mathcal{O}_k, \mathcal{O}_l)\ .$$

Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Hol}(\lambda, \overline{X_w}, X^{\leq w}, l_0)$. The isomorphisms

$$\gamma_l^{l+m} : p_{l*}^{l+m}\mathcal{M}_{l+m} = p_{l.}^{l+m}(\mathcal{M}_{l+m} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{l+m}} \mathcal{D}_{l+m\to l}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{M}_l$$

induce $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps

$$\widehat{\gamma}_l^{l+m} : \mathrm{H}^j(Y_{l+m}, \mathcal{M}_{l+m}) \otimes_{\mathrm{H}^0(Y_{l+m}, \mathcal{D}_{l+m})} \mathrm{H}^0(Y_{l+m}, \mathcal{D}_{l+m\to l}) \to \mathrm{H}^j(Y_l, \mathcal{M}_l)$$

for each $j \geq 0$. By construction of $s_*\mathcal{M}$ we have similar maps δ_l^{l+m} (8.2) and the associated maps $\widehat{\delta}_l^{l+m}$. We define the map $(s_l^{l+\Delta})^\sharp : \mathrm{H}^j(Y_{l+\Delta}, \mathcal{M}_{l+\Delta}) \to \mathrm{H}^j(Y_l, (s_*\mathcal{M})_l)$ by taking $\mathrm{H}^j(Y_l, s_{l\cdot}^{l+\Delta}(\cdot))$ of

$$\mathcal{M}_{l+\Delta} \to \mathcal{M}_{l+\Delta} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{l+\Delta}} \mathcal{D}_{s_l^{l+\Delta}},\ v \mapsto v \otimes 1_{s_l^{l+\Delta}}\ .$$

Entirely similarly we define a map $(t_{l-\Delta}^l)^\sharp : \mathrm{H}^j(Y_l, \mathcal{M}_l) \to \mathrm{H}^j(Y_{l-\Delta}, t_{l-\Delta *}^l \mathcal{M}_l)$. Since $t_{l-\Delta *}^l s_{l*}^{l+\Delta} = p_{l-\Delta *}^{l+\Delta}$ we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^j(Y_{l-\Delta}, t_{l-\Delta *}^l (s_*\mathcal{M})_l) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{H}^j(Y_{l-\Delta}, \mathcal{M}_{l-\Delta})$$

induced by $\gamma_{l-\Delta}^{l+\Delta}$ and denoted by the same letter. We note that the composition

$$\gamma_{l-\Delta}^{l+\Delta}(t_{l-\Delta}^l)^\sharp (s_l^{l+\Delta})^\sharp : \mathrm{H}^j(Y_{l+\Delta}, \mathcal{M}_{l+\Delta}) \to \mathrm{H}^j(Y_{l-\Delta}, \mathcal{M}_{l-\Delta})$$

is a transition map for the inverse limit $\mathrm{H}^j(X, \mathcal{M})$ (see Section subsubsection 2.3.2). It follows that we have an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\mathrm{H}^j(X, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{H}^j(X, s_*\mathcal{M}) \tag{8.4}$$

induced by the maps $(s_l^{l+\Delta})^\sharp$, which is functorial in $\mathcal{M}$. The inverse isomorphism is induced by the maps $\gamma_{l-\Delta}^{l+\Delta}(t_{l-\Delta}^l)^\sharp$. The global sections of the morphism of sheaves (8.3) (for $k' = l + m + \Delta$, $k = l - \Delta$, $l' = l + m$) are a map

$$\epsilon : \mathrm{H}^0(Y_{l+m}, \mathcal{D}_{l+m\to l}) \to \mathrm{H}^0(Y_{l+m+\Delta}, \mathcal{D}_{l+m+\Delta\to l-\Delta})\ .$$

It satisfies the identity

$$\widehat{\gamma}_{l-\Delta}^{l+m+\Delta}(v \otimes \epsilon(p)) = \gamma_{l-\Delta}^{l+\Delta}(t_{l-\Delta}^l)^\sharp\ \widehat{\delta}_l^{l+m}((s_{l+m}^{l+m+\Delta})^\sharp(v) \otimes p) \tag{8.5}$$

for $v \in \mathrm{H}^j(Y_{l+m+\Delta}, \mathcal{M}_{l+m+\Delta})$ and $p \in \mathrm{H}^0(Y_{l+m}, \mathcal{D}_{l+m\to l})$. Indeed, this identity is implied by the identity of isomorphisms of sheaves $\gamma_{l-\Delta}^{l+m+\Delta} = \gamma_{l-\Delta}^{l+\Delta} t_{l-\Delta *}^l(\delta_l^{l+m})$, which itself is a direct consequence of (8.2) and (2.4).

8.4. **The $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\mathrm{H}^j(X, s_*\mathcal{M})$.** The following theorem relates the $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\mathrm{H}^j(X, s_*\mathcal{M})$ to the functor $(\cdot)^s$ of Definition 8.1.

Theorem 8.1. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$. (8.4) induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^j(X, s_*\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathrm{H}^j(X, \mathcal{M})^s$ of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. This isomorphism is functorial in $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Let $j \in I$. We recall that the P_j^--equivariant structure of $\mathcal{O}_X(-\lambda)$ induces a Lie algebra map $\partial : \mathfrak{p}_j^- \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_X(-\lambda)|Y)$. Similarly, the line bundle $s^*\mathcal{O}_X(-\lambda)$ has a ${}^sP_j^-$-equivariant structure (the group scheme ${}^sP_j^-$ is defined as the s-conjugate of P_j^- in G; it is a subscheme of G). We obtain a Lie algebra map

$${}^s\partial :{}^s\ \mathfrak{p}_j \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(s^*\mathcal{O}_X(-\lambda)|Y) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(s^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X(-\lambda)|Y)$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{p}_j^- & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_X(-\lambda)|Y) \\ {\scriptstyle s}\uparrow\cong & & \Phi\uparrow\cong \\ {}^s\mathfrak{p}_j^- & \xrightarrow{{}^s\partial} & \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(s^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X(-\lambda)|Y) \end{array}$$

commutes, where $s :^s \mathfrak{p}_j^- \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{p}_j^-$ is the restriction of the automorphism s of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\Phi(p) = 1_s p(1_s)^{-1}$ (1_s was introduced in Remark 8.1). This diagram extends to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_X(-\lambda)|Y) \\ {\scriptstyle s}\uparrow\cong & & \Phi\uparrow\cong \\ \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{{}^s\partial} & \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(s^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X(-\lambda)|Y) \end{array} \quad . \tag{8.6}$$

We note that $\Phi^{-1} = s^{-1}$, where $s^{-1}(p)$ is understood as a morphism of sheaves for $p : \mathcal{O}_X(-\lambda)|Y \to \mathcal{O}_X(-\lambda)|Y$, holds on the image of ∂. On the other hand, we have by definition (8.3) of ϵ a commutative diagram



(8.7)

for $p \in (s_{l+m}^{l+m+\Delta})^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{l+m\to l}$. Here, the maps $1_{p_k} : p_k^{-1}\mathcal{O}_k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-\lambda)|Y$ are the monomorphisms corresponding to the first part of (2.2).

Let $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \geq 0$ be such that $[v, \mathfrak{n}_{l+m}^-] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_l^-$ holds for all l. Then Lemma 8.1 implies $[sv, \mathfrak{n}_{l+m+\Delta}^-] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_{l-\Delta}^-$. We recall from (2.6) that there is a hence a unique element

$$\partial_{l-\Delta}^{l+m+\Delta}(sv) \in \mathrm{H}^0(Y_{l+m+\Delta}, F_1\mathcal{D}_{l+m+\Delta\to l-\Delta})$$

such that $1_{p_{l+m+\Delta}} p_{l+m+\Delta}^{-1}(\partial_{l-\Delta}^{l+m+\Delta}(sv)) = \partial(sv)1_{p_{l-\Delta}}$ holds. We have the similar maps

$${}^s\partial_l^{l+m}(v) \in \mathrm{H}^0(Y_{l+m+\Delta}, (s_{l+m}^{l+m+\Delta})^{-1}F_1\mathcal{D}_{l+m\to l}) = \mathrm{H}^0(Y_{l+m}, F_1\mathcal{D}_{l+m\to l})$$

for ${}^s\partial$ instead of ∂. The identity

$$\epsilon({}^s\partial_l^{l+m}(v)) = \partial_{l-\Delta}^{l+m+\Delta}(sv) \tag{8.8}$$

follows from (8.6) and (8.7) by uniqueness of $\partial_{l-\Delta}^{l+m+\Delta}(sv)$. Now (8.5) and (8.8) imply the statement of the theorem by definition of the $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $\mathrm{H}^j(X,\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathrm{H}^j(X,s_*\mathcal{M})$. □

9. Categories $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}\,\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda)$

In this section we introduce the full subcategories $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}\,\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda)$ of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$. They contain the category $\mathrm{Hol}_0(\lambda)$ of [KT95][p. 41]. We fix $i \in I$ in the whole section, e.g. in Definition 9.1 below. Let $w \in W$ be such that $s_i w < w$. Associated to the embedding $i_{w,l}$ (4.1) we have a canonical morphism of functors $i_{w,l!} \to i_{w,l*}$ and in particular morphisms $\mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l \to \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l$. We define $\mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l$ as the image of this morphism. We have $\operatorname{supp}\mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l = \overline{X_w}$. As $i_{w,l}$ is an affine embedding of an irreducible subvariety and $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{(\alpha)} \boxtimes \Omega_{s_i U_{s_i w}}$ is a simple $\mathcal{O}$-coherent $\mathcal{D}$-module it follows that $\mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l$ is the unique simple submodule of $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l$ and simple quotient of $\mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l$ by [Ber][p. 29]. Then $(\mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,\alpha)_l)_{l\geq l_0}$ defines an object $\mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,\alpha) \in \mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$. We have $\mathbb{D}\,\mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,\alpha) \cong \mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,-\alpha)$ as a direct consequence of [Eic15][Remark 2.3].

Notation 9.1. When $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ we abbreviate the isomorphism class $\mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda) = \mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,\alpha)$.

Remark 9.1. By definition [KT95][p. 41] $\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$ is the unique simple submodule of $\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)$. By (9.5) below $\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)$ is a submodule of $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)$ and hence by uniqueness $\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda) = \mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda)$ for w such that $s_i w < w$. In the same way we find $\widetilde{s}_{i*}\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda) = \mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda)$ for $s_i w < w$.

Definition 9.1. We define $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$ as the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$ whose objects have a composition series with simple quotients of the form $\mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ for w such that $s_i w < w$ or to $\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$ or $\widetilde{s}_{i*}\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$ for $s_i w > w$. We similarly define the category $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}\,\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda)$ requiring $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By Remark 9.1 $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}\,\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda)$ contains $\mathrm{Hol}_0(\lambda)$. Analogous to the notion of an admissible closed subset of X of [KT95][p. 34] we have

Definition 9.2. We call a finite union of $s_i\overline{X_w}$ and $\overline{X_w}$, $w \in W$, relaxed admissible closed subset of X.

We consider the collection of relaxed admissible closed subsets as a poset w.r.t. inclusion. The collection of admissible closed subsets of X is a cofinal subposet of it.

9.1. Properties of $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}\,\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda)$.

Lemma 9.1.

(1) $\mathbb{D}$ preserves $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}(\mathbb{Z})}(\lambda)$.
(2) $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}(\mathbb{Z})}(\lambda)$ is a Serre subcategory of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$.
(3) The support of any object of $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$ is a relaxed admissible closed subset.
(4) Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a simple quotient of a composition series of $\mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$ or $\cong \mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,\alpha)$, then we have $\overline{X_w} \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{S} \cong \widetilde{s}_{i*}\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$, then we have $s_i\overline{X_w} \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. (1) This follows from the fact that $\mathbb{D}\,\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$ and $\mathbb{D}\,\mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,\alpha) = \mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,-\alpha)$ holds and from Lemma 8.3.
(2) This is shown by looking at the induced composition series of a subobject and a quotient object of an object in $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}(\mathbb{Z})}(\lambda)$.
(3) For a short exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2 \to \mathcal{M}_3 \to 0$ in $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$ we have $\operatorname{supp}\mathcal{M}_2 = \operatorname{supp}\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \operatorname{supp}\mathcal{M}_3$. This implies the statement.
(4) Assume there is a simple quotient $\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$ in a composition series of $\mathcal{M}$. Then $\operatorname{supp}\mathcal{M} \supseteq \operatorname{supp}\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda) = \overline{X_w}$ holds. The other cases are completely similar. □

Lemma 9.2.

(1) $\widetilde{s}_{i*}\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,\alpha) \cong \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda,-\alpha)$
(2) $\widetilde{s}_{i*}$ preserves $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}(\mathbb{Z})}(\lambda)$.

Proof. (1). It suffices to observe the isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}$-modules on $\mathbb{C}^\times \times^{s_i} U_{s_i w}$

$$\widetilde{s}_i^*\left(\Omega^{(\alpha)}_{\mathbb{C}^\times} \boxtimes \Omega_{s_i U_{s_i w}}\right) = \widetilde{s}_i^*\pi^*\Omega^{(\alpha)}_{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \pi^* J^*\Omega^{(\alpha)}_{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \pi^*\Omega^{(-\alpha)}_{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \Omega^{(-\alpha)}_{\mathbb{C}^\times} \boxtimes \Omega_{s_i U_{s_i w}}\,.$$

Here $\pi : \mathbb{C}^\times \times^{s_i} U_{s_i w} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the projection, $\widetilde{s}_i$ denotes the automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^\times \times^{s_i} U_{s_i w}$ induced by the same-named automorphism of X and J is the automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ given by $x \mapsto -x^{-1}$. The identity $\pi\widetilde{s}_i = J\pi$ is a direct computation. Furthermore $(\cdot)^*$ denotes the inverse image of right $\mathcal{D}$-modules.
(2). (1) implies $\widetilde{s}_{i*}\mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,\alpha) \cong \mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda,-\alpha)$. Proposition 2.1(2) implies $(\widetilde{s}_{i*})^2\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda) \cong \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)$ as $\widetilde{s}_i{}^2 X_w = s_i^2 X_w = X_w$, which in turn implies $(\widetilde{s}_{i*})^2\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda) \cong \mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$ for all $w \in W$. Lemma 8.2 and Definition 9.1 now imply the statement. □

9.2. **Short exact sequences involving $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)$.** Let $w \in W$ be such that $s_i w < w$. According to [Kas00][Theorem 3.29 (2)] we have a distinguished triangle

$$\mathrm{R}\,\Gamma_Z\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathrm{R}\,\Gamma_{X_l^{\le w}\setminus Z}\mathcal{F} \to \tag{9.1}$$

for any $\mathcal{F}$ in the bounded derived category of right $\mathcal{D}_{X_l^{\le w}}$-modules, where we defined the closed subvariety $Z = \overline{X_{s_i w}}$ of $X_l^{\le w}$. We set

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{R}\,\Gamma_{s_i X_w}\Omega_{X_l^{\le w}} \tag{9.2}$$

and by [Kas00][Theorem 3.29 (1)] find the distinguished triangle

$$\mathrm{R}\,\Gamma_{X_{s_i w}}\Omega_{X_l^{\le w}} \to \mathrm{R}\,\Gamma_{s_i X_w}\Omega_{X_l^{\le w}} \to \mathrm{R}\,\Gamma_{s_i X_w \cap X_w}\Omega_{X_l^{\le w}} \to$$

as $s_i X_w \cap Z = X_{s_i w}$ and $s_i X_w \cap (X_l^{\le w} \setminus Z) = s_i X_w \cap X_w$. The corresponding long exact sequence of cohomology reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathrm{H}^{\operatorname{codim} s_i X_w}\,\mathrm{R}\,\Gamma_{s_i X_w}(\Omega_{X_l^{\le w}}) &\to \mathrm{H}^{\operatorname{codim} s_i X_w \cap X_w}\,\mathrm{R}\,\Gamma_{X_w \cap s_i X_w}(\Omega_{X_l^{\le w}}) \\ \to \mathrm{H}^{\operatorname{codim} X_{s_i w}}\,\mathrm{R}\,\Gamma_{X_{s_i w}}(\Omega_{X_l^{\le w}}) &\to 0 \end{aligned}$$

because the cohomology vanishes in all other degrees [KT95][p. 32] as a consequence of the fact that the subvarieties s_iX_w, $s_iX_w \cap X_w$ and X_{s_iw} are smooth, locally closed and affinely embedded in $X_l^{\leq w}$. Thus we arrive at the exact sequence

$$0 \to \iota_{s_iX_w *}(\Omega_{s_iX_w}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda) \to \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)_l \to \mathcal{B}_{s_iw}(\lambda)_l \to 0\,, \tag{9.3}$$

where $\iota_{s_iX_w} : s_iX_w \hookrightarrow X_l^{\leq w}$. By Proposition 2.1(2) applied to the morphism $(\widetilde{s_i})_l^{l+\Delta} : X_{l+\Delta}^{\leq w} \to X_l^{\leq w}$ of Definition 8.2 we have

$$\iota_{s_iX_w *}(\Omega_{s_iX_w}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_l^{\leq w}}(\lambda) = (\widetilde{s_i}_* \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda))_l\,,$$

see Definition 8.3. In this way we get the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \widetilde{s_i}_* \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda) \to \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda) \to \mathcal{B}_{s_iw}(\lambda) \to 0 \tag{9.4}$$

in $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$. Similarly, replacing Z by s_iZ, which is still contained in $X_l^{\leq w}$, in (9.1) and s_iX_w by X_w in (9.2) we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda) \to \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda) \to \widetilde{s_i}_* \mathcal{B}_{s_iw}(\lambda) \to 0\,. \tag{9.5}$$

The next lemma is a direct consequence of (9.4) and Lemma 9.1(1) and (2).

Lemma 9.3. $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda), \mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}\,\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda)$ □

9.3. **Properties of $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \cdot)$ on $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$.** Let us generalize [KT95][Proposition 3.3.1] and corollaries of it from $\mathrm{Hol}_0(\lambda)$ to $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$.

Proposition 9.1. Let $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_l)_{l \geq l_0} \in \mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$. Then $\mathrm{H}^j(Y_l, \mathcal{M}_l)$ is a generalized weight module with finite dimensional generalized weight spaces and for $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ there is a $l' \geq l_0$ such that the natural map $\mathrm{H}^j(Y_{l_1}, \mathcal{M}_{l_1})_\mu \to \mathrm{H}^j(Y_{l_2}, \mathcal{M}_{l_2})_\mu$ is an isomorphism for all $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq l'$.

Proof. We have $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{M} = \bigcup_j \overline{X_{w_j}} \bigcup_k s_i\overline{X_{u_k}}$ for some finite collection $w_j, u_k \in W$. We can assume that $s_i\overline{X_{u_k}}$ is not of the form $\overline{X_w}$. The proof is an induction on $\max_{j,k}\{\ell(w_j), \ell(u_k)\}$. The induction start is clear. Let

$$0 \to \mathcal{M}^{(1)} \to \mathcal{M}^{(2)} \to \mathcal{M}^{(3)} \to 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$. The long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \ldots \to \mathrm{H}^{j-1}\left(Y_l, \mathcal{M}_l^{(3)}\right) \to \mathrm{H}^j\left(Y_l, \mathcal{M}_l^{(1)}\right) \to \mathrm{H}^j\left(Y_l, \mathcal{M}_l^{(2)}\right) \to \mathrm{H}^j\left(Y_l, \mathcal{M}_l^{(3)}\right) \\ \to \mathrm{H}^{j+1}\left(Y_l, \mathcal{M}_l^{(1)}\right) \to \ldots \end{aligned}$$

of $\mathfrak{b}^-$-modules shows that if two of $\mathcal{M}^{(1),(2),(3)}$ satisfy the conclusion of the proposition, then so does the third. As any object of $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$ has finite length, it suffices to check the statement in the following cases: When $s_iw < w$ and $w \leq w_j$ for some j for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda, \alpha)$. When $s_iw > w$ and $w \leq w_j$ for some j for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$ and when $w \leq u_k$ for

some k for $\mathcal{M} = \widetilde{s}_{i*}\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$. For $s_i w > w$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{L}_w(\lambda) \to \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda) \to \mathcal{N} \to 0$$

as a consequence of the definition of the direct images. As the second map in this sequence is an isomorphism on X_w we have $\operatorname{supp}\mathcal{N} \subseteq \overline{X_w} \setminus X_w$. As $\overline{X_w} \setminus X_w = \bigcup_{j\in I:\, s_j w<w} \overline{X_{s_j w}}$ it follows that $\mathcal{N}$ satisfies the conclusion by induction hypothesis. Since $\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)$ satisfies the conclusion by the explicit expression for the $\mathfrak{h}$-module $\mathrm{H}^0(Y_l, \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)_l)$ and we have $\mathrm{H}^j(Y_l, \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)_l) = 0$ for $j > 0$, see [KT95][Lemma 3.2.1], the statement follows for $\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$. The argument in case $\widetilde{s}_{i*}\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$ is completely similar. For $s_i w < w$ there is again an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda, \alpha) \to \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda, \alpha) \to \mathcal{N}' \to 0$$

whose second map restricts to an isomorphism on $X_w \cap s_i X_w$. It follows as above that $\operatorname{supp}\mathcal{N}' \subseteq \overline{X_w} \setminus (X_w \cap s_i X_w) = (\overline{X_w} \setminus X_w) \cup \overline{X_w} \setminus s_i X_w)$ and hence the induction hypothesis shows that $\mathcal{N}'$ satisfies the conclusion. Also, we have by Theorem 5.1(1) an explicit expression for the $\mathfrak{h}$-module $\mathrm{H}^0(Y_l, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda, \alpha)_l)$ and $\mathrm{H}^j(Y_l, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda, \alpha)_l) = 0$ for $j > 0$ was stated in Lemma 6.1. Thus the statement also holds for $\mathcal{R}_{!*w}(\lambda, \alpha)$. □

As a direct application of the definition of $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \cdot)$, see Section subsubsection 2.3.2, we have

Corollary 9.1. A short exact sequence in $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}}(\lambda)$ induces a long exact sequence of the $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \cdot)$, $j \geq 0$. □

We can now deduce more about the cohomology of $\mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda)$.

Corollary 9.2.

(1) $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda)) = 0$ for $j > 0$ and λ ρ-regular antidominant, i.e. $(\lambda + \rho)(h_i) < 0$ for all $i \in I$

(2) (cf. [KT95][Lemma 3.3.6]) $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^j \operatorname{char}\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^j \operatorname{char}\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \mathbb{D}\,\mathcal{M})$ holds for $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}\,\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda)$, where $\operatorname{char}(\cdot)$ denotes the formal character of the $\mathfrak{h}$-module.

(3) $\operatorname{char}\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda)) = \operatorname{char}\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))$ for λ ρ-regular antidominant

Proof. (1). This follows from Corollary 9.1 applied to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{M}_{s_i w}(\lambda) \to \mathcal{R}_{!w}(\lambda) \to \widetilde{s}_{i*}\mathcal{M}_w(\lambda) \to 0$$

in $\mathrm{Hol}_0^{\mathrm{R}\,\mathbb{Z}}(\lambda)$ dual to (9.4), [KT95][Theorem 3.4.1(i)] and Theorem 8.1.

(2). The property is stable under extension and hence it suffices to prove it for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{M} = \widetilde{s}_{i*}\mathcal{L}_w(\lambda)$, but in that case $\mathbb{D}\,\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}$ as a consequence of Lemma

8.3.

(3) directly follows from (1), (2) and Lemma 6.1. □

10. Identification of $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \mathcal{R}_{!,*w}(\lambda,\alpha))$ as $\mathfrak{g}$-module in some cases

10.1. **The case $w = s_i$.** In this section we identify the cohomology groups

$$\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \mathcal{R}_{!,*s_i}(\lambda,\alpha))$$

as $\mathfrak{g}$-modules for all values of λ and α. The method is similar to the identification $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{B}_1(\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{M}(\lambda)$ of the global sections of the skyscraper $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{B}_1(\lambda)$ supported at the distinguished point $1 \in X$. We only replace 1 by the Schubert curve $\overline{X_{s_i}} = X_{s_i} \sqcup X_1$ in X. We have isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathrm{SL}_2 / B^{\mathrm{SL}_2} \xrightarrow{\cong} G'_i/G'^{>0}_i \xrightarrow{\cong} G_i/G_i^{>0} \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{X_{s_i}}\ . \tag{10.1}$$

Here B^{SL_2} is the standard, upper triangular, Borel subgroup of SL_2. $G_i^{>0}$ is the subgroup of G_i, see Section subsubsection 2.1.3, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}\, e_i$. G'_i is the derived group of G_i and $G'^{>0}_i$ is the Borel subgroup of G'_i with Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}\, h_i \oplus \mathbb{C}\, e_i$. The restriction of the group homomorphism $\mathrm{SL}_2 \to G'_i$, which induces the second isomorphism, to the standard torus of SL_2, identified with $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$, is given by the first factor in the factorization of the coroot $h_i : \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \to T'_i \hookrightarrow T$. The fourth isomorphism is induced by the action of G_i on 1, i.e. by the map $G_i \to X$, $g \mapsto g1$. The embedding $i_{s_i,l}$ of (4.1) factors into the composition of an open and a closed embedding

$$\mathbb{C}^\times \xrightarrow{j} \overline{X_{s_i}} = X_{s_i} \sqcup X_1 \xrightarrow{i'_{s_i,l}} X_l^{\leq s_i}\ .$$

Remark 10.1. We have $i'^*_{s_i,l}\mathcal{O}_{X_l^{\leq s_i}}(\lambda) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-\lambda(h_i))$. This follows directly from the definition of $\mathcal{O}_{X_l^{\leq s_i}}(\lambda)$ and (10.1).

We note that $U^-_{s_i} =^{s_i} U^-_{s_i} = U^-(\Phi^{<0} \setminus \{-\alpha_i\})$. The isomorphisms (3.1) and (3.2) are equivariant for the group $T \ltimes U^-(\Phi^{<0} \setminus \{-\alpha_i\})$. Let us denote $U^-(\Phi^{<0} \setminus \{-\alpha_i\})_l = U^-_l \backslash U^-(\Phi^{<0} \setminus \{-\alpha_i\})$. Thus we have an isomorphism of $T \ltimes U^-(\Phi^{<0} \setminus \{-\alpha_i\})_l$-schemes

$$\begin{aligned} X_l^{\leq s_i} &= N(X_{s_i})_l \cup N(X_1)_l \cong U^-(\Phi^{<0} \setminus \{-\alpha_i\})_l \times (U(\alpha_i) \cup U^-(-\alpha_i)) \\ &\cong U^-(\Phi^{<0} \setminus \{-\alpha_i\})_l \times \mathbb{P}^1 \end{aligned}$$

and $\overline{X_{s_i}}$ identifies with $1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ under this isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-\lambda(h_i))$-twisted holonomic right $\mathcal{D}$-module on $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Lemma 10.1. There is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{n}^-_i/\mathfrak{n}^-_l$-modules

$$\mathrm{H}^j(X_l^{\leq s_i}, i'_{s_i,l*}\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}^-_i/\mathfrak{n}^-_l) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^j(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{M})$$

for $j \geq 0$.

Proof. We have $i'_{s_i,l*}\mathcal{M} \cong \iota_* \mathbb{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}$ (external tensor product of $\mathcal{O}$-modules), where $\iota : \{1\} \hookrightarrow U^-(\Phi^{<0} \setminus \{-\alpha_i\})_l$, see e.g. [Kas00][p. 80]. Then we apply the Kuenneth formula [Kem93][Proposition 9.2.4] to $\iota_* \mathbb{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}$ and use the fact that

$$\mathrm{H}^j(U^-(\Phi^{<0} \setminus \{-\alpha_i\})_l, \iota_* \mathbb{C}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_i^-/\mathfrak{n}_l^-) & j = 0 \\ 0 & j > 0 \end{cases}$$

as $\mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{n}_i^-/\mathfrak{n}_l^-$-module. □

By (10.1) we see that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_i$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on the subspace $\mathbb{C}1 \otimes \mathrm{H}^j(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{M})$ of $\mathrm{H}^j(X_l^{\leq s_i}, i'_{s_i,l*}\mathcal{M})$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is holonomic, $i'_{s_i*}\mathcal{M} = (i'_{s_i,l*}\mathcal{M})_{l \geq l_0}$ is an object of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$.

Theorem 10.1. $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, i'_{s_i*}\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{p}_i} \mathrm{H}^j(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{M})$ as $\mathfrak{g}$-module, where on the rhs $\mathfrak{p}_i$ acts via (7.1). In particular $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, i'_{s_i*}\mathcal{M}) = 0$ for $j \geq 2$.

Proof. Lemma 10.1 induces an isomorphism

$$\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, i'_{s_i*}\mathcal{M}) \cong \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{n}_i^- \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{H}^j(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{M}) \tag{10.2}$$

of $\mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathfrak{n}_i^-$-modules. The embedding $M = \mathrm{H}^j(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow N = \overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, i'_{s_i*}\mathcal{M})$ is a map of $\mathfrak{p}_i$-modules. Via the adjunction $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{p}_i} M, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(M, N)$ we obtain a $\mathfrak{g}$-map $\phi : \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{p}_i} M \to N$. (10.2) implies that ϕ is surjective and injective. □

[Eic15][Theorem 5.2] implies

Corollary 10.1. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. We have $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*s_i}(\lambda, \alpha)) \cong \mathrm{R}(\lambda, \alpha)$ as $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

[Eic15][Theorem 5.3 and 5.4] imply

Corollary 10.2. Let $-\lambda(h_i) \geq 2$. We have isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*s_i}(\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)^{\vee_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{!s_i}(\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$, $\overline{\mathrm{H}^1}(X, \mathcal{R}_{!s_i}(\lambda)) = 0$.

In the same way [Eic15][Theorem 5.1-5.4] yield an explicit description of the $\mathfrak{g}$-modules $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \mathcal{B}_{s_i}(\lambda))$, $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \mathcal{M}_{s_i}(\lambda))$, $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*s_i}(\lambda, \alpha))$ and $\overline{\mathrm{H}^j}(X, \mathcal{R}_{!s_i}(\lambda, \alpha))$ as induced modules for $j = 0, 1$ and all values of λ and α. Rather than listing every case here, we comment on these results. We recall that the condition that λ be ρ-regular antidominant implies $-\lambda(h_i) \geq 2$. The vanishing of the $\overline{\mathrm{H}^1}(X, \cdot)$ obtained in the above way is consistent with [KT95][Theorem 3.4.1(i)] and in fact these $\overline{\mathrm{H}^1}(X, \cdot)$ vanish for any λ such that $-\lambda(h_i) \geq 2$. The nonvanishing $\overline{\mathrm{H}^1}(X, \cdot)$ are parabolic Verma modules (this notion was recalled in Section subsection 7.2). In particular, by [Eic15][Theorem 5.1], we have $\overline{\mathrm{H}^1}(X, \mathcal{M}_{s_i}(\lambda)) \neq 0$ if and only if $-\lambda(h_i) \leq 0$.

Using Theorem [Eic15][Theorem 5.5] one can produce further induced $\mathfrak{g}$-modules as $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \cdot)$ of objects of $\mathrm{Hol}(\lambda)$ similar to $\mathcal{R}_{!,*s_i}(\lambda)$. These $\mathfrak{g}$-modules are not isomorphic to the ones above, but have the same structure as $\mathfrak{h}$-module.

10.2. **The case of $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)$.** In this section we identify $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))$ as a $\mathfrak{g}$-module for all $w \in W$. The case $w = s_i$ has already been covered in Section subsection 10.1.

Theorem 10.2. Let λ be ρ-regular antidominant, i.e. $(\lambda + \rho)(h_i) < 0$ for all $i \in I$. Then $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{R}(w \cdot \lambda, =)^\vee$ as $\mathfrak{g}$-module.

We will prove this theorem in Section subsubsection 10.2.2 after some preparations. The strategy is similar to the highest weight case, namely we adapt the arguments of [KT95] identifying the $\mathfrak{g}$-module $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda))$ as the dual Verma module $\mathrm{M}(w \cdot \lambda)^\vee$ (this statement itself will also be an ingredient in our proof).

10.2.1. *Algebraic statements about* $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$. *In this section we require* $\lambda(h_i) \geq 0$.

Remark 10.2. Let us fix a basis $(X_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ of root vectors of $\mathfrak{n}_i^-$. $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$ is freely generated by the action of $\mathfrak{n}_i^-$ from the "top level" subspace $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\lambda(h_i), =)$ of $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$. Thus it has a basis $X_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots X_{k_N}^{n_N} v$, where $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $n_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $k_1 > \dots > k_N$ and v runs through a basis of the "top level".

We will refer to $X_{k_1}^{n_1} \dots X_{k_N}^{n_N} \in \mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{n}_i^-$ as ordered monomial and abbreviate it as X.

Lemma 10.2. $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$ does not have nonzero $\mathfrak{g}_i'$-finite vectors.

Proof. By construction of $\mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\lambda(h_i), =)$ in [Eic15] there is a weight vector v in the "top level" $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\lambda(h_i), =)$ of $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$ such that the (repeated) action of e_i and f_i on v spans the whole "top level". In the argument below we will consider the collection of vectors

$$X e_i^n v \ , \ n > 0 \ , \ X f_i^n v \ , \ n \geq 0 \ , \tag{10.3}$$

where X runs through the ordered monomials. By Remark 10.2 this is a basis of $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$. We claim that by letting e_i^m or f_i^m act on the vector $w = Xv$, where X is an ordered monomial and bringing it to the right, noting $[e_i, \mathfrak{n}_i^-] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_i^-$ and $[f_i, \mathfrak{n}_i^-] \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_i^-$, we find that the subspace $\mathbb{C}[e_i]w$ and $\mathbb{C}[f_i]w$ is infinite dimensional. Indeed,

$$e_i X v = X e_i v + \text{"brackets of } e_i \text{ with } X_{k_j}\text{"} \ .$$

But these brackets are in $\mathfrak{n}_i^-$ and hence are a linear combination of $X'v$, where X' is an ordered monomial (to make the monomials ordered one has to form brackets in $\mathfrak{n}_i^-$). By induction on m it follows that the element $X e_i^m v$ of the basis (10.3) occurs in $e_i^m w$ with nonzero coefficient. Hence $\mathbb{C}[e_i]w$ is an infinite dimensional subspace. The argument for $\mathbb{C}[f_i]w$ is completely similar. Given now a general vector $w \in \mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$, we can expand it in the basis (10.3) and hence write it uniquely in the form

$$w = \sum_{l=1}^{L} A_l f_i^{a_l} v + \sum_{p=1}^{P} B_p e_i^{b_p} v \ ,$$

where A_l and B_p are nonzero linear combinations of ordered monomials and $0 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots$ and $0 < b_1 < b_2 < \dots$. If $L \geq 1$, then the above argument shows that each basis

element of (10.3) occurring in $A_L f_i^{a_L+m} v$ with nonzero coefficient will also occur in $f_i^m w$ with nonzero coefficient. Hence $\mathbb{C}[f_i]w$ is infinite dimensional. Similarly we show in case $P \geq 1$ that $\mathbb{C}[e_i]w$ is infinite dimensional. Thus w is not $\mathfrak{g}_i'$-finite unless $L = P = 0$, in which case $w = 0$. □

We note that from Remark 10.2 it follows that $\mathrm{M}(s_i{\cdot}\lambda) \oplus \mathrm{M}(s_i{\cdot}\lambda)^{\widetilde{s_i}}$ can be considered as a submodule of $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$ in a unique way. The "extremal" weight of $\mathrm{M}(s_i \cdot \lambda)^{\widetilde{s_i}}$ is $s_i(s_i \cdot \lambda) = \lambda + \alpha_i$. The following proposition can be regarded as a version of [KT95][Proposition 1.2.2(ii)], where $\mathrm{M}(\lambda)$ is replaced by $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$ and the submodule $\mathrm{M}(s_i{\cdot}\lambda)$ by the submodule $\mathrm{M}(s_i \cdot \lambda) \oplus \mathrm{M}(s_i \cdot \lambda)^{\widetilde{s_i}}$.

Proposition 10.1. Any nonzero submodule of $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$ intersects $\mathrm{M}(s_i \cdot \lambda) \oplus \mathrm{M}(s_i \cdot \lambda)^{\widetilde{s_i}}$ nontrivially.

Proof. We consider the quotient $\mathfrak{g}$-module $W = \mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)/\,\mathrm{M}(s_i \cdot \lambda) \oplus \mathrm{M}(s_i \cdot \lambda)^{\widetilde{s_i}}$. Let V be the image of the "top level" of $\mathrm{R}(\lambda, =)$ in W. It is a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}_i$-module. Then W is spanned by the vectors $w = Xv$, where X runs through the ordered monomials and v through a basis of weight vectors of V. The weights of W are in particular contained in $\mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{n}_i^-) + \mathrm{wt}(V)$. On the other hand we have $\mathrm{wt}((\mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{i})w) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\,\alpha_i + \mathrm{wt}(w)$. Thus it follows from [Kas90a][Lemma 5.1.6] that $\mathrm{wt}((\mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{i})w)$ is a finite set. But then it is easy to conclude that $(\mathcal{U}\,\mathfrak{g}_i)w$ is finite dimensional. It follows that any (not necessarily weight) vector in W is $\mathfrak{g}_i$-finite. Now the statement follows from Lemma 10.2. □

10.2.2. *Proof of the theorem.* We recall that the $\mathfrak{h}$-module structure of $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))$ is known from Theorem 5.1(2) and coincides with the one of $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))^\vee$. This will be used without mention multiple times in the subsequent arguments. We first note that we have a long exact sequence for $\mathrm{H}^j\left(X_l^{\leq w}, \cdot\right)$ associated to the short exact sequence (9.3). It follows that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \widetilde{s_i}_*\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)) \to \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)) \to \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{B}_{s_i w}(\lambda)) \to 0$$

of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. Here we applied Theorem 8.1, which shows $\overline{\mathrm{H}^1}(X, \widetilde{s_i}_*\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)) \cong \overline{\mathrm{H}^1}(X, \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda))^{\widetilde{s_i}} = 0$. (Corollary 9.1 on the other hand is not needed.) Using the identification $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{B}_{s_i w}(\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{M}(s_i w \cdot \lambda)^\vee$ proven in [KT95][Theorem 3.4.1(ii)] for λ ρ-regular antidominant and applying $(\cdot)^\vee$ to the above surjection $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)) \to \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{B}_{s_i w}(\lambda))$ we obtain an injection $\psi : \mathrm{M}(s_i w \cdot \lambda) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))^\vee$. Similarly, we obtain from the short exact sequence (9.5) an injection $\psi^{\widetilde{s_i}} : \mathrm{M}(s_i w \cdot \lambda)^{\widetilde{s_i}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))^\vee$.

Remark 10.3. The morphisms ψ and $\psi^{\widetilde{s_i}}$ are the unique, up to scalar, morphisms with given source and target by the universal property of the Verma module and the fact that the corresponding weight spaces of $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))^\vee$ are one dimensional.

Let us now describe the "top level" of $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))^\vee$, i.e. the subspace

$$L = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}\,\alpha_i + w\cdot\lambda} \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))^\vee_\mu \ .$$

This is a $\mathfrak{g}_i$-submodule of $\overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))^\vee$.

Lemma 10.3. $L \cong \mathbb{C}_{w\cdot\lambda} \otimes \mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}((w\cdot\lambda)(h_i), =)$ as $\mathfrak{g}_i$-module, where on the rhs $\mathfrak{g}_i$ acts according to the direct sum decomposition of (7.1) .

Proof. We can consider $L' = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}\,\alpha_i + w\cdot\lambda} \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))_\mu$ as a subspace of $\mathrm{H}^0(N(X_{s_i w})_l, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)_l)$. We recall (5.1). There is an explicit description of the action of f_i by the first order differential operator ∂_z on $L' \subseteq \mathrm{H}^0(N(X_{s_i w})_l, \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)_l)$, where z is the affine coordinate appearing in (3.3). Indeed, such a description is given in [KT95][near (3.6.10)] for $\mathcal{M}_w(\lambda)_l$ instead of $\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)_l$ and renders to the case of $\mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)_l$. It shows

$$f_i \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))_{s_i w\cdot\lambda + \alpha_i} = 0 \qquad f_i \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))_\mu \neq 0 \quad \mu \in (\mathbb{Z}\,\alpha_i + w\cdot\lambda) \setminus \{s_i w\cdot\lambda + \alpha_i\} \ .$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)_l | N(X_w)_l \cong \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)_l | N(X_w)_l$ and we can consider L' as a subspace of $\mathrm{H}^0(N(X_w)_l, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda)_l)$. The action of e_i is given by a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\mathrm{H}^0(N(X_w)_{l+1}, \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)_{l+1}) \to \mathrm{H}^0(N(X_w)_l, \mathcal{B}_w(\lambda)_l)$, which on L' can be described as the first order differential operator ∂_x, where x is the affine coordinate on $U(\alpha_i)$, see [KT95][p. 50]. This shows

$$e_i \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))_{w\cdot\lambda} = 0 \qquad e_i \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))_\mu \neq 0 \quad \mu \in (\mathbb{Z}\,\alpha_i + w\cdot\lambda) \setminus \{w\cdot\lambda\} \ .$$

The statement then follows by applying the definition of $(\cdot)^\vee$. □

The isomorphism of this lemma induces an injection of $\mathfrak{p}_i$-modules

$$\mathbb{C}_{w\cdot\lambda} \otimes \mathrm{R}^{\mathfrak{sl}_2}((w\cdot\lambda)(h_i), =) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))^\vee \ ,$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_i$ acts via (7.1) on the source. By Definition 7.1 of $\mathrm{R}(w\cdot\lambda, =)$ we obtain a $\mathfrak{g}$-map $\phi : \mathrm{R}(w\cdot\lambda, =) \to \overline{\mathrm{H}^0}(X, \mathcal{R}_{*w}(\lambda))^\vee$. The restrictions $\phi|\,\mathrm{M}(s_i w\cdot\lambda)$ and $\phi|\,\mathrm{M}(s_i w\cdot\lambda)^{\widetilde{s_i}}$ are both nonzero by construction and hence by Remark 10.3 a nonzero multiple of ψ and $\psi^{\widetilde{s_i}}$, respectively. Hence $\ker\phi$ does not intersect $\mathrm{M}(s_i w\cdot\lambda) \oplus \mathrm{M}(s_i w\cdot\lambda)^{\widetilde{s_i}}$ and we infer $\ker\phi = 0$ by Proposition 10.1. Thus ϕ is an injection and hence an isomorphism. This concludes the proof of Theorem 10.2.

References

[BB81] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein. Localisation de $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 292(1):15–18, 1981.

[BD91] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld. *Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves*. 1991.

[Ber] J. Bernstein. Algebraic theory of D-modules. available at `http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~mitya/langlands.html`. unpublished.

[BGG76] J. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, and S. I. Gelfand. On some category of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. *Functional Analysis and its Applications*, 10(2):1–8, 1976.

[BK81] J.L. Brylinski and M. Kashiwara. Kazhdan-Lusztig Conjecture and Holonomic Systems. *Invent. math.*, 64:387–410, 1981.

[Eic15] C. Eicher. Cohomology of twisted $\mathcal{D}$-modules on $\mathbb{P}^1$ obtained as extensions from $\mathbb{C}^\times$. arXiv:1509.05299 [math.RT], 2015.

[Eic16] C. Eicher. *Relaxed highest weight modules from $\mathcal{D}$-modules on the Kashiwara flag scheme*. PhD thesis, ETH Zurich, 2016. in preparation.

[FG09] E. Frenkel and D. Gaitsgory. Localization of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules on the affine Grassmannian. *Annals of Mathematics*, 170(3):1339–1381, 2009.

[Fje11] J. Fjelstad. On duality and extended chiral symmetry in the SL(2,$\mathbb{R}$) WZW model. *Journal of Physics A*, 44, 2011.

[Fre07] E. Frenkel. *Langlands Correspondence for Loop Groups*, volume 103 of *Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[FSST98] B.L. Feigin, A.M. Semikhatov, V.A. Sirota, and I.Yu. Tipunin. Resolutions and characters of irreducible representations of the N=2 superconformal algebra. *Nuclear Physics B*, 536(3):617–656, 1998.

[FST98] B.L. Feigin, A.M. Semikhatov, and I. Yu. Tipunin. Equivalence between chain categories of representations of affine sl(2) and $N = 2$ superconformal algebras. *J. Math. Phys.*, 39:3865–3905, 1998.

[Gab01] M.R. Gaberdiel. Fusion rules and logarithmic representations of a WZW model at rational level. *Nucl. Phys. B.*, 618, 2001.

[Gai05] D. Gaitsgory. Geometric Representation Theory, Fall 2005. available at `http://www.math.harvard.edu/~gaitsgde`, 2005. Lecture notes.

[Hum08] J.E. Humphreys. *Representations of Semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG Category $\mathcal{O}$*. AMS, 2008.

[Kac90] V. Kac. *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge University Press, 3rd edition, 1990.

[Kas90a] M. Kashiwara. The flag manifold of Kac-Moody Lie algebra. *Lie algebra, algebraic analysis, geometry and number theory*, 1990.

[Kas90b] M. Kashiwara. Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for a symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift II*, pages 407–433. Birkhäuser, 1990.

[Kas00] M. Kashiwara. *D-modules and Microlocal Calculus*. Translations of mathematical monographs 217. A.M.S., 2000.

[Kem77] G. Kempf. *The geometry of homogeneous space versus induced representations of reductive groups*. Johns Hopkins University Press, 1977.

[Kem93] G. Kempf. *Algebraic Varieties*. Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[KT95] M. Kashiwara and T. Tanisaki. Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for affine Lie algebras with negative level. *Duke Math. J.*, 77(1):21–62, 1995.

[KT96] M. Kashiwara and T. Tanisaki. Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture for affine Lie algebras with negative level II: nonintegral case. *Duke Math. J.*, 84(3), 1996.

[Kum02] S. Kumar. *Kac-Moody groups, their Flag Varieties and Representation Theory*, volume 204 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser, 2002.

[Rid10] D. Ridout. $sl(2)_{-1/2}$ and the triplet model. *Nuclear Physics B*, 835:314–342, 2010.

[Rid11] D. Ridout. Fusion in fractional level $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(2)$-theories with $k = -\frac{1}{2}$. *Nucl. Phys. B.*, 848:216–250, 2011.

[RW15] D. Ridout and S. Wood. Relaxed singular vectors, Jack symmetric functions and fractional level $\widehat{sl}(2)$ models. *Nuclear Physics B*, 894:621–664, 2015.

[Sha10] P. Shan. *Bases canoniques et graduations associées aux algèbres de Hecke doublement affines rationnelles*. PhD thesis, Université Paris Diderot, 2010.

[SS97] A.M. Semikhatov and V.A. Sirota. Embedding diagrams of $N = 2$ Verma modules and relaxed $\widehat{sl}(2)$ Verma modules. arXiv:hep-th/9712102, 1997.

[SVV14] P. Shan, E. Vasserot, and M. Varagnolo. Koszul duality of affine Kac-Moody algebras and cyclotomic rational double affine Hecke algebras. *Advances in Mathematics*, 262:370–435, 2014.

DEPARTEMENT MATHEMATIK, ETH ZUERICH, 8092 ZUERICH, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: claude.eicher@math.ethz.ch